

**Report To The General Assembly
For The Collection Of Court Ordered Fines And Fees
For Clerks Of The Courts And
Commonwealth's Attorneys For The Fiscal Year 2002**

Submitted November 1, 2002

**Frank Drew
Chairman
Compensation Board**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
BACKGROUND.....	6
COLLECTION ACTIVITY	6
DATA COLLECTION ISSUES	7
ACTION TAKEN TO INCREASE THE COLLECTION OF UNPAID FINES, COSTS, FORFEITURES AND PENALTIES.....	9
RECOMMENDATIONS TO INCREASE THE COLLECTION OF UNPAID FEES, COSTS, FORFEITURES AND PENALTIES.....	9
FINES AND FEES COLLECTIONS BY LOCALITY (SECTION I).....	11
COMPARISONS OF FY00 AND FY01 COLLECTION ACTIVITIES (SECTION II).....	11
APPENDICES.....	12


Executive Summary


§19.2-349 Code of Virginia requires that all Clerks of Courts collect on any fines or fees assessed within their court. If payment or a payment arrangement has not been made in thirty days, the account becomes delinquent. Ten days are allowed for the appeals process, after which the delinquent account becomes the responsibility of the Commonwealth's Attorney. The Commonwealth's Attorney or the collection agent chosen by the Commonwealth's Attorney is then responsible for the collection of the delinquent account.

The 1994 General Assembly session adopted legislation directing the Compensation Board and the Department of Taxation to report to the Governor and the General Assembly the total of fines, costs, forfeitures and penalties assessed, collected, and unpaid and those which remain unsatisfied or do not meet the conditions of §19.2-354 by each circuit and district court. Pursuant to §19.2-349.C, the report shall include procedures established by the Department of Taxation and the Compensation Board pursuant to this section and a plan for increasing the collection of unpaid fines, costs, forfeitures and penalties.

The purpose of this document is to report collection efforts in the Commonwealth by the Clerks of Courts and the Commonwealth's Attorneys. This report does not compare collection methods chosen by Commonwealth's Attorneys or draw conclusions as to the effectiveness of any Clerk or Commonwealth's Attorney. The format in which the data is collected does not allow for meaningful comparisons to be made between collection methods or individual offices. Data collection issues are discussed on page 4.

The following report represents collection efforts for each Clerk of the Courts; Circuit, District, Juvenile and Domestic, and Combined; and Commonwealth's Attorney for fiscal year 2002. Based on information compiled by the Supreme Court through the Financial Management System, Clerks of the Courts have collected \$218,486,164 in fines, costs and interest in fiscal year 2002 of the \$323,494,376 in fines assessed, for an average statewide collection rate of 67.44%. Based on information provided to the Compensation Board by the Commonwealth's Attorneys, Commonwealth's Attorneys have collected \$45,012,077 in gross collections and \$36,785,628 in net collections against **delinquent** assessments of \$89,979,085 for a collection rate of 50.03%. The percent of collections for fiscal year 2002 increased by 0.31% from the prior fiscal year for Court Clerks and increased by 6.26% for Commonwealth's Attorneys. The following graphs have been included to show the comparison of Fiscal Years 1999, 2000, 2001 and 2002 data.





The Compensation Board, in conjunction with the Department of Taxation and the Auditor of Public Accounts, have developed recommendations to increase collection efforts for both Commonwealth's Attorneys and Clerks of the Circuit Court. Collection efforts by locality and by Officer are shown in Section 1.

Background

The compilation of information for the fines and fees report began in August, 1995 with the receipt of collection rates from the Supreme Court (Appendix 4), for all Court Clerks in the Commonwealth. The Compensation Board previously met with representatives of the Virginia Association of Commonwealth's Attorneys, Supreme Court, Department of Taxation and a private collection agency to determine a reporting mechanism, which would accurately reflect the collection efforts of Commonwealth's Attorneys. The task force developed a fines and fees reporting form (Appendix 1), which was approved by the Compensation Board, and is sent to all Commonwealth's Attorneys each year requesting the current fiscal year collection data.

Collection Activity

Section 1 details collection activities for each locality. Fines and costs assessed, dollars collected and collection percentage has been shown for each locality and for each office. The fines and costs assessed amounts were acquired through the Supreme Court for the Clerks and through the fines and fees form for the Commonwealth's Attorneys. The net assessed dollars for the Commonwealth's Attorneys is the total assessed for the fiscal year less any accounts that were manually removed by the Clerk of Court and any accounts that were reported paid by Tax set-off. The dollar collected figures were also acquired from the Supreme Court for the Clerks and the fines and fees form for the Commonwealth's Attorneys. The collection percentage was acquired from both forms but was also calculated by the Compensation Board by taking the fines and costs collected divided by the assessed dollars reported. Gross and net collections are calculated for Commonwealth's Attorneys as reported on the fines and fees form. The net collections represent the dollar amount collected after their collection fee was applied. The percentage collected is based on gross collections. The collection method that the Commonwealth's Attorney chose is also shown.

Data Collection Issues

The data included in this report for individual courts and Commonwealth's Attorneys offices should not be used to determine the relative effectiveness, or ineffectiveness of individual collection efforts because the manner in which the data is collected does not allow for a meaningful comparison to be made between offices or collection methods. Data collection and reporting issues include prior and current year collections, gross amount assessments variances and changes in collection methods.

- Prior and current year collections

Prior and current year assessments, and prior year and current year collections are co-mingled. The primary data system used for reporting, the Supreme Court's Financial Management System currently compares total assessed dollars to collected dollars. This report does not examine individual accounts to match assessed with collected but instead focus on court wide activity. The Financial Management System was designed as an accounting system, not a collections system. Consequently, we cannot determine if the collection was made on a current or prior year assessment.

- Gross amount assessment variances

The gross amount assessed for the Commonwealth's Attorneys represents the delinquencies that have been forwarded to their office from the Clerks office. In some cases, the amount of delinquencies in the Clerks office does not match the amount assessed in the Commonwealth's Attorneys office; for example, in a General District Court, those differences may be attributed to timing errors in the reporting such as using assessment information for June 1, 2001 to May 31, 2002 to represent fiscal year 2002 data. Accounts that were delinquent in June would not be collected until July; these accounts would be reported on the Commonwealth's Attorneys fines and fees report but not be assessed in the Clerks data from the financial management system. The Compensation Board specifically requested assessment and collection figures for the time period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002.

- Current year assessments

Some collection percentages show over 100% collection rate. For example, the collection percentage for the Commonwealth's Attorney office as listed below, in the Circuit Court.

<u>COURT</u>	<u>ASSESSED</u>	<u>COLLECTED</u>	<u>PERCENT</u>	<u>ASSESSED</u>	<u>COLLECTED</u>	<u>PERCENT</u>
CIRCUIT	\$ 296,335	\$ 107,097	63.14%	\$47,988	\$53,481	111.45%
GENERAL DISTRICT	\$685,644	\$ 489,340	71.37%	\$174,817	\$126,345	72.27%
JUVENILE	\$ 56,941	\$ 34,587	60.74%	\$ 17,188	\$8,674	50.46%

This occurs when collections have been reported for the previous year. For example, if the clerk has not forwarded delinquent accounts in fiscal year 2001 but had forwarded delinquent accounts in fiscal year 2000 and those accounts are still being collected on, the amount assessed could be zero and a collection amount that is positive. The Compensation Board had requested assessed figures for the time period July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001. The following report includes collections on delinquent accounts for preceding years. The Supreme Court's Financial Management System does not provide data for Compensation Board use which would track the age of the account on which a payment is made.

- Changes in collection methods

There are certain Commonwealth's Attorneys offices who may have changed collection methods within the last fiscal year. Due to the nature of the report, the Compensation Board may combine the two collection methods figures to determine one collection percentage. It is noted, however, that there were two collection methods during that fiscal year. It should also be noted that the Compensation Board reported collection figures under the same collection method for the Commonwealth's Attorney for all courts. In reality, the Clerk of each Court may have a different collection method than that of the Commonwealth's Attorney. This information is not available to the Compensation Board because, per law, the Commonwealth's Attorney chooses the collection method, not the-Circuit Court Clerk.

Action taken to Increase the Collection of Unpaid Fines, Costs, Forfeitures and Penalties

- The Compensation Board again requested with FY 2000 data submissions all Circuit Court Clerks and Commonwealth's Attorneys to review and resubmit a signed policy statement regarding the collection of fines and fees.
- The Compensation Board has included a comparison of prior year collection activities for Commonwealth's Attorneys and Clerks offices in the fiscal year 2001 report
- The Compensation Board sought input and recommendations from legislative staff to improve the annual report on fines and fees collections.
- The Compensation Board sought input and recommendations from collection agents in September 2002.

Recommendations to Increase the Collection of Unpaid Fees, Costs, Forfeitures and Penalties

- The Compensation Board will place the Fiscal Year 2002 Fines and Fees report on the Compensation Board website.
- Collection agents have recommended actions to increase collections:
 1. Provide training to Clerks to request fines due the court while the individual is still in his/her presence and inform the individuals of the consequences of not paying the delinquent fines, e.g. driver's licenses will be suspended.
 2. Establish a return date for the debtor if fines/fees are not paid.
 3. Tighten discretionary rules on the driver's license reinstatement program.
 4. Change the traffic summons form to provide a place for the arresting officer to request the individual's employer and/or work telephone number.
 5. Levy on jail/ D.O.C. canteen accounts.
 6. Change Code of Virginia Section, 60-2.114 to allow private collection agents the same access to VEC data.
- The 2000 General Assembly passed legislation which allows collection agents collecting court ordered fines for Commonwealth's Attorneys to use lien authority under section 58.1-1804. The legislation provided for a pilot project with collection agents being chosen by the Department of Taxation and the results of this project are provided on the following page for Floyd, Lynchburg, Norfolk, and Richmond City.

The one year pilot program authorizing the use of third party liens by Commonwealth's Attorneys and their contracted attorneys for the collection of unpaid court fines and fees ended August 31, 2001. The table below provides the results of each of the four participating localities.

Locality	Number Liens Mailed	Amount Collected
Lynchburg	605	\$ 98,498.35
Norfolk	3,002	167,766.50
Richmond	1,023	47,639.00
Floyd	1	375.00
Total	4,631	\$314,278.85

Section 1

Fines and Fees Collections By Locality

MEMORANDUM

Date: November 1, 2002
To: Compensation Board Members
From: Bruce W. Haynes, Executive Secretary
RE: Fines and Fees Report FY02

Enclosed is the final draft of the Fines and Fees Report for fiscal year 2002. This will be a docket item at the November Board Meeting.

Attachments

MEMORANDUM

Date: October 2, 2002
To: The Honorable Sandra Bowen
Secretary of Administration
From: Bruce W. Haynes, Executive Secretary
RE: Fines and Fees Report FY02

Enclosed is the exposure draft of the fiscal year 2002 Fines And Fees Report. I would appreciate your comments and suggestions by October 15 so that we may forward the report to the Governor and General Assembly on November 30.

Enclosures

Cc: James W. Matthews, Assistant Executive Secretary
Richard A. Lampman, Policy and Planning Manager
Alice Coe, Manager, Customer Service
Charlene M. Rollins, Lead Management Analyst

MEMORANDUM

Date: November 1, 2002
To: The Honorable Sandra Bowen
Secretary of Administration
From: Bruce W. Haynes, Executive Secretary
RE: Fines and Fees Report FY02

Pursuant to §19.2-349 Code of Virginia, enclosed is the Fines and Fees Report to the General Assembly, compiling fiscal year 2002 information on delinquent fines and fees collection efforts by Clerks of the Courts and Commonwealth's Attorneys.

Enclosures

November 1, 2002

The Honorable Mark R. Warner
Governor
Commonwealth of Virginia
Richmond, VA 23219

Dear Governor Warner:

Pursuant to §19.2-349 Code of Virginia, enclosed is the Fines and Fees Report to the General Assembly, compiling fiscal year 2002 information on delinquent fines and fees collection efforts by Clerks of the Courts and Commonwealth's Attorneys of Virginia.

Sincerely,

Frank Drew
Chairman

Cc: Bruce W. Haynes, Executive Secretary
James W. Matthews, Assistant Executive Secretary
Richard A. Lampman, Planning and Policy Manager
Alice Coe, Manager, Customer Service
Charlene M. Rollins, Lead Management Analyst

November 1, 2002

Senator John H. Chichester, Chairman
Senate Finance Committee
General Assembly Building, 10th Floor
910 Capitol Street
Richmond, VA 23219

Dear Senator Chichester:

Pursuant to §19.2-349 Code of Virginia, enclosed is the Fines and Fees Report to the General Assembly, compiling fiscal year 2002 information on delinquent fines and fees collection efforts by Clerks of the Courts and Commonwealth's Attorneys.

Sincerely,

Frank Drew
Chairman

Cc: Bruce W. Haynes, Executive Secretary
James W. Matthews, Assistant Executive Secretary
Richard A. Lampman, Planning and Policy Manager
Alice Coe, Manager, Customer Service
Charlene M. Rollins, Lead Management Analyst

November 1, 2002

Delegate V. F. Callahan, Jr., Chairman
House Appropriations Committee
General Assembly Building
P. O. Box 406
Richmond, VA 23203

Dear Delegate Callahan:

Pursuant to §19.2-349 Code of Virginia, enclosed is the Fines and Fees Report to the General Assembly, compiling fiscal year 2002 information on delinquent fines and fees collection efforts by Clerks of the Courts and Commonwealth's Attorneys.

Sincerely,

Frank Drew
Chairman

Cc: Bruce W. Haynes, Executive Secretary
James W. Matthews, Assistant Executive Secretary
Richard A. Lampman, Planning and Policy Manager
Alice Coe, Manager, Customer Service
Charlene M. Rollins, Senior Management Analyst

October 2, 2002

MEMORANDUM:

To: Mr. Walter J. Kucharski, Auditor of Public Accounts
Mr. Kenneth Thorson, Commissioner, Department of Taxation
Mr. Ken Mittendorf, Director, MIS, Supreme Court of Virginia
Mr. Charles S. Sharp, Chairman, Commonwealth's Attorneys Services Council
Ms. Susan Williams, Administrator, Commonwealth's Attorney Services Council
Mr. Robert Wrenn, President, Virginia Court Clerks Association
Mr. Jim Shepard, Auditor of Public Accounts
Ms. Kathy Lohr, Department of Taxation
Mr. David Zobel, Huff, Poole and Mahoney
Ms. Kim McNeil, E-Recovery Solutions
Mr. Joel Branscom, Commonwealth's Attorney
Mr. Richard C. Grizzard, Commonwealth's Attorney
Mr. William H. Fuller, III, Commonwealth's Attorney
Mr. Gino W. Williams, Commonwealth's Attorney
Mr. Donald S. Caldwell, Commonwealth's Attorney
Mr. David S. Hudson, Attorney
Mr. Wallace Covington, III, Attorney
Ms. Rita Salyers, Commonwealth Collections Incorporated
Mr. A. Paul Burton, City Attorney, Hampton
Cantor and Cantor
Quadros & Associates
Glasser and Glasser
Holly & Massie
Fines Management LTD

From: Bruce W. Haynes, Executive Secretary

Re: Exposure Draft-Fines and Fees Report Fiscal Year 2002

Enclosed is the Fines and Fees Report exposure draft for fiscal year 2002. Please review and send your comments and/or revisions as necessary by letter, to the Compensation Board by October 15, 2002.

As this is an exposure draft and subject to change, we appreciate your assistance in keeping the report confidential. Please contact Richard A. Lampman, Policy and Planning Manager, (804) 786-0786, ext. 215 or Charlene M. Rollins, Lead Management Analyst, ext. 206, if you have any questions.

Cc: James W. Matthews, Assistant Executive Secretary
Richard A. Lampman, Policy and Planning Manager
Alice Coe, Manager, Customer Service
Charlene M. Rollins, Lead Management Analyst

November 1, 2002

MEMORANDUM:

To: Mr. Walter J. Kucharski, Auditor of Public Accounts
Mr. Kenneth Thorson, Commissioner, Department of Taxation
Mr. Ken Mittendorf, Director, MIS, Supreme Court of Virginia
Mr. Charles S. Sharp, Chairman, Commonwealth's Attorneys Services Council
Ms. Susan Williams, Administrator, Commonwealth's Attorney Services Council
Mr. Robert Wrenn, President, Virginia Court Clerks Association
Mr. Jim Shepard, Auditor of Public Accounts
Ms. Kathy Lohr, Department of Taxation
Mr. David Zobel, Huff, Poole and Mahoney
Ms. Kim McNeil, E-Recovery Solutions
Mr. Joel Branscom, Commonwealth's Attorney
Mr. Richard C. Grizzard, Commonwealth's Attorney
Mr. William H. Fuller, III, Commonwealth's Attorney
Mr. Gino W. Williams, Commonwealth's Attorney
Mr. Donald S. Caldwell, Commonwealth's Attorney
Mr. David S. Hudson, Attorney
Mr. Wallace Covington, III, Attorney
Ms. Rita Salyers, Commonwealth Collections Incorporated
Mr. A. Paul Burton, City Attorney, Hampton
Cantor and Cantor
Quadros & Associates
Glasser and Glasser
Holly & Massie
Fines Management LTD

From: Bruce W. Haynes, Executive Secretary

Re: Fines and Fees Report Fiscal Year 2002

Pursuant to §19.2-349 Code of Virginia, enclosed is the Fines and Fees Report to the General Assembly, compiling fiscal year 2002 information on delinquent fines and fees collection efforts by Clerks of the Courts and Commonwealth's Attorneys.

Cc: James W. Matthews, Assistant Executive Secretary
Richard A. Lampman, Planning and Policy Manager
Alice Coe, Manager, Customer Service
Charlene M. Rollins, Lead Management Analyst

September 19, 2002

Memorandum

To: Compensation Board Members

From: Bruce W. Haynes, Executive Secretary

Re: Exposure Draft of FY02 Fines and Fees Report

Attached is a copy of the exposure draft of the fines and fees report for fiscal year 2002. This will be a docket item at the September board meeting. The final will be sent to the General Assembly by November 30, 2002. Please contact me at 786-0786, ext 211, Richard, ext. 215, or Charlene at ext. 206 if you have any questions.

COMPENSATION BOARD FINES AND FEES REPORT FISCAL YEAR 2002

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
001									
ACCOMACK									
	CIRCUIT	-562,465	256,045	0.00%	144,688	51,764	44,517	35.78%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,567,559	800,288	51.05%	435,187	210,015	180,613	48.26%	
	JUVENILE	69,592	31,101	44.69%	27,413	8,184	7,038	29.85%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
003									
ALBEMARLE									
	CIRCUIT	701,112	408,682	58.29%	113,662	59,199	50,912	52.08%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,210,757	959,165	79.22%	179,201	140,890	121,165	78.62%	
	JUVENILE	71,955	45,760	63.60%	17,554	11,364	9,773	64.74%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
005									
ALLEGHANY									
	CIRCUIT	365,536	145,526	39.81%	183,005	27,260	23,443	14.90%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	892,551	518,695	58.11%	298,321	82,225	70,713	27.56%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
007									
AMELIA									
	CIRCUIT	152,809	45,325	29.66%	103,878	36,191	31,124	34.84%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	288,611	208,730	72.32%	56,961	47,008	40,427	82.53%	
009									
AMHERST									
	CIRCUIT	369,404	194,389	52.62%	64,859	49,292	42,391	76.00%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	690,538	477,202	69.11%	405,548	114,283	98,283	28.18%	
	JUVENILE	62,457	36,327	58.16%	22,556	8,192	7,045	36.32%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
011									
APPOMATTOX									
	CIRCUIT	141,646	75,615	53.38%	45,063	10,697	9,199	23.74%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	313,837	237,801	75.77%	66,073	31,741	27,298	48.04%	
	JUVENILE	16,825	8,994	53.45%	4,476	3,911	3,363	87.37%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
013									
ARLINGTON\FALLS CH									
	CIRCUIT	3,287,224	1,667,859	50.74%	977,321	458,796	394,564	46.94%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	6,368,082	4,900,227	76.95%	889,152	656,398	564,502	73.82%	
	JUVENILE	124,079	70,279	56.64%	42,355	16,519	14,206	39.00%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	80,724	53,161	45,718	65.86%	
015									
AUGUSTA									
	CIRCUIT	568,367	355,710	62.58%	528,812	394,010	388,648	74.51%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,126,924	903,886	80.21%	930,130	1,032,158	1,014,200	110.97%	
	JUVENILE	73,658	43,684	59.31%	60,581	55,496	53,842	91.61%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	1,519,523	1,481,664	1,456,690	97.51%	
017									
BATH									
	CIRCUIT	32,114	19,817	61.71%	13,435	6,184	5,319	46.03%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	101,202	92,351	91.25%	9,576	4,355	3,745	45.48%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
019									
BEDFORD	CIRCUIT	864,466	571,786	66.14%	309,892	68,506	58,915	22.11%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	955,890	749,099	78.37%	124,016	120,649	103,758	97.28%	
	JUVENILE	65,884	41,696	63.29%	21,710	9,544	8,208	43.96%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
021									
BLAND	CIRCUIT	119,329	105,406	88.33%	9,415	8,990	7,732	95.48%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	669,362	489,396	73.11%	111,605	47,835	41,138	42.86%	
023									
BOTETOURT	CIRCUIT	523,549	291,399	55.66%	85,026	61,270	49,500	72.06%	In house
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	See footnote Page 43
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	1,248,043	956,441	76.64%	259,089	117,206	94,963	45.24%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
025									
BRUNSWICK									
	CIRCUIT	343,742	264,532	76.96%	273,627	29,017	24,954	10.60%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	1,269,561	901,988	71.05%	227,349	183,676	157,961	80.79%	
027									
BUCHANAN									
	CIRCUIT	475,554	117,769	24.76%	331,498	49,538	42,603	14.94%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	466,092	275,978	59.21%	98,209	70,834	60,917	72.13%	
029									
BUCKINGHAM									
	CIRCUIT	120,384	47,239	39.24%	80,972	20,461	17,596	25.27%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	216,744	167,644	77.35%	35,275	31,351	26,962	88.88%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
031									
CAMPBELL									
	CIRCUIT	874,169	261,829	29.95%	566,791	110,784	95,275	19.55%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	688,802	528,769	76.77%	75,118	86,530	74,416	115.19%	
	JUVENILE	70,437	42,142	59.83%	27,726	13,386	11,512	48.28%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
033									
CAROLINE									
	CIRCUIT	581,561	504,022	86.67%	61,941	33,642	28,932	54.31%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,795,790	1,328,069	73.95%	401,375	205,780	176,970	51.27%	
	JUVENILE	57,230	28,339	49.52%	26,702	11,448	11,392	42.87%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	81,658	65,665	0.00%	
035									
CARROLL									
	CIRCUIT	342,851	181,279	52.87%	78,418	17,706	14,311	22.58%	E-Recovery Solutions
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	818,628	610,128	74.53%	199,982	81,658	65,665	40.83%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
036									
CHARLES CITY									
	CIRCUIT	81,834	48,928	59.79%	21,257	9,964	8,569	46.87%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	117,422	81,245	69.19%	23,194	17,121	14,724	73.81%	
037									
CHARLOTTE									
	CIRCUIT	56,696	41,699	73.55%	56,696	41,699	41,699	73.55%	Reported by Clerk of Court
	GENERAL DISTRICT	474,711	379,832	80.01%	15,039	44,302	38,099	294.57%	Taxation
	JUVENILE	14,952	11,646	77.89%	1,927	2,138	1,839	110.97%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
041									
CHESTERFIELD									
	CIRCUIT	3,479,537	2,158,148	62.02%	1,278,986	443,798	381,667	34.70%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	4,916,248	3,579,257	72.80%	1,357,954	787,525	551,417	57.99%	Huff, Poole & Mahoney. P.C.
	JUVENILE	334,863	199,426	59.55%	137,660	54,286	46,686	39.43%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
043									
CLARKE									
	CIRCUIT	177,796	141,250	79.45%	7,654	4,411	3,793	57.63%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	394,979	325,413	82.39%	60,045	38,947	33,495	64.86%	
	JUVENILE	14,228	9,685	68.07%	2,632	3,426	2,946	130.15%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
045									
CRAIG									
	CIRCUIT	23,970	18,904	78.86%	8,257	1,290	1,110	15.63%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	44,224	36,211	81.88%	6,352	4,986	4,288	78.49%	
047									
CULPEPER									
	CIRCUIT	445,392	294,984	66.23%	82,578	25,230	21,698	30.55%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	1,017,839	788,961	77.51%	162,193	108,644	93,434	66.98%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
049									
CUMBERLAND									
	CIRCUIT	61,136	40,008	65.44%	16,033	5,910	5,083	36.86%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	164,087	119,601	72.89%	36,311	22,891	19,686	63.04%	
051									
DICKENSON									
	CIRCUIT	188,284	80,791	42.91%	99,778	26,860	23,100	26.92%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	288,440	190,165	65.93%	73,995	46,648	40,117	63.04%	
053									
DINWIDDIE									
	CIRCUIT	-7,297	267,872	0.00%	233,729	40,919	35,191	17.51%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	1,204,338	778,113	64.61%	287,798	183,684	157,969	63.82%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
057									
ESSEX									
	CIRCUIT	281,258	195,019	69.34%	11,865	9,187	6,496	77.43%	Huff, Poole, & Mahoney
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	780,058	691,679	88.67%	95,621	75,013	52,547	78.45%	
059									
FAIRFAX\FX CITY									
	CIRCUIT	10,584,678	10,062,591	95.07%	494,802	522,088	448,995	105.51%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	20,255,373	15,505,252	76.55%	2,459,085	2,799,351	2,407,442	113.84%	
	JUVENILE	564,890	470,930	83.37%	73,621	68,142	58,602	92.56%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
061									
FAUQUIER									
	CIRCUIT	1,047,183	816,400	77.96%	117,845	78,072	67,142	66.25%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,733,065	1,384,442	79.88%	288,046	189,061	162,593	65.64%	
	JUVENILE	64,187	49,651	77.35%	9,791	9,307	8,004	95.06%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
063									
FLOYD	CIRCUIT	124,023	56,596	45.63%	53,357	20,303	16,350	38.05%	In House
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	See footnote Page 43
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	92,387	77,640	84.04%	13,343	10,302	8,179	77.21%	
065									
FLUVANNA	CIRCUIT	141,209	89,943	63.69%	18,966	10,132	8,714	53.42%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	300,563	243,243	80.93%	45,236	44,068	37,899	97.42%	
067									
FRANKLIN	CIRCUIT	660,171	275,771	41.77%	265,898	84,313	72,509	31.71%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	760,795	566,258	74.43%	97,413	121,831	104,775	125.07%	
	JUVENILE	47,247	29,357	62.13%	14,732	7,507	6,456	50.96%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
069									
FREDERICK									
	CIRCUIT	692,841	465,401	67.17%	223,734	101,715	87,475	45.46%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,445,159	1,057,115	73.15%	293,337	250,206	215,177	85.30%	
	JUVENILE	67,100	51,186	76.28%	16,620	6,606	5,681	39.75%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
071									
GILES									
	CIRCUIT	417,170	267,825	64.20%	143,628	32,305	27,783	22.49%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	644,733	481,921	74.75%	90,554	50,531	43,457	55.80%	
073									
GLOUCESTER									
	CIRCUIT	316,223	151,849	48.02%	120,400	66,268	44,016	55.04%	Local Attorney- David Hudson
	GENERAL DISTRICT	630,940	487,993	77.34%	114,698	101,083	69,856	88.13%	
	JUVENILE	63,177	37,788	59.81%	26,979	14,591	9,467	54.08%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
075									
GOOCHLAND									
	CIRCUIT	210,251	111,087	52.84%	70,607	39,944	34,351	56.57%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	596,651	512,197	85.85%	60,030	61,867	53,205	103.06%	
077									
GRAYSON\GALAX									
	CIRCUIT	336,367	146,246	43.48%	116,002	19,596	16,852	16.89%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	202,365	128,952	63.72%	144,475	73,016	62,794	50.54%	
079									
GREENE									
	CIRCUIT	239,003	149,816	62.68%	27,236	15,236	13,103	55.94%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	588,186	505,275	85.90%	63,293	52,801	45,409	83.42%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
081									
GREENESVILLE									
	CIRCUIT	1,885,546	1,696,835	89.99%	229,611	47,002	40,422	20.47%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	2,431,383	1,891,801	77.81%	825,457	375,420	322,661	45.48%	
083									
HALIFAX									
	CIRCUIT	623,208	289,227	46.41%	227,897	80,597	69,313	35.37%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	714,557	521,261	72.95%	156,166	112,292	96,571	71.91%	
	JUVENILE	21,810	11,681	53.56%	9,588	2,586	2,224	26.97%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
085									
HANOVER									
	CIRCUIT	1,290,960	762,389	59.06%	449,885	159,557	137,219	35.47%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	2,181,204	1,633,626	74.90%	449,677	401,652	345,421	89.32%	
	JUVENILE	70,318	51,010	72.54%	16,417	10,800	9,288	65.78%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
087									
HENRICO									
	CIRCUIT	5,184,230	3,291,170	63.48%	1,921,873	429,145	321,859	22.33%	Cantor & Cantor
	GENERAL DISTRICT	6,652,337	4,873,064	73.25%	1,683,869	1,204,940	903,705	71.56%	
	JUVENILE	286,912	162,909	56.78%	123,301	68,242	51,182	55.35%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
089									
HENRY									
	CIRCUIT	779,110	367,235	47.14%	392,088	121,776	104,727	31.06%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,141,022	794,639	69.64%	254,545	174,715	150,255	68.64%	
	JUVENILE	89,718	44,345	49.43%	25,844	19,470	16,744	75.34%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
091									
HIGHLAND									
	CIRCUIT	44,263	28,977	65.47%	5,612	3,748	3,223	66.78%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	77,781	71,641	92.11%	4,970	6,134	5,276	123.43%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
093									
ISLE OF WIGHT									
	CIRCUIT	431,308	266,144	61.71%	121,027	50,174	43,150	41.46%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	784,602	597,919	76.21%	130,047	109,664	94,311	84.33%	
	JUVENILE	33,485	20,836	62.23%	7,474	8,224	7,072	110.03%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
097									
KING & QUEEN									
	CIRCUIT	173,957	137,966	79.31%	39,314	19,386	16,672	49.31%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	368,485	297,992	80.87%	44,971	42,057	36,169	93.52%	
	JUVENILE	12,341	7,641	61.91%	3,266	2,105	1,811	64.47%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
099									
KING GEORGE									
	CIRCUIT	2,178,981	1,841,885	84.53%	350,218	21,181	18,215	6.05%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	478,074	356,515	74.57%	67,647	82,593	71,030	122.09%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY				
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD	
101										
KING WILLIAM										
	CIRCUIT	307,972	216,986	70.46%	51,014	22,900	19,694	44.89%	Taxation	
	GENERAL DISTRICT	386,369	284,874	73.73%	73,051	48,200	41,452	65.98%		
	JUVENILE	21,073	12,076	57.31%	6,986	2,716	2,336	38.87%		
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
103										
LANCASTER										
	CIRCUIT	226,976	82,646	36.41%	136,723	46,859	40,299	34.27%	Taxation	
	GENERAL DISTRICT	198,282	165,875	83.66%	24,159	20,382	17,528	84.37%		
	JUVENILE	12,602	7,523	59.69%	4,375	1,503	1,293	34.36%		
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
105										
LEE										
	CIRCUIT	757,017	125,622	16.59%	632,048	47,858	41,158	7.57%	Taxation	
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
	COMBINED	445,130	246,274	55.33%	167,680	55,701	47,903	33.22%		

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
107									
LOUDOUN									
	CIRCUIT	2,374,894	2,184,335	91.98%	172,548	60,502	52,031	35.06%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	3,913,956	3,329,322	85.06%	470,386	328,028	282,104	69.74%	
	JUVENILE	93,980	77,420	82.38%	9,001	8,768	7,540	97.41%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
109									
LOUISA									
	CIRCUIT	296,581	225,588	76.06%	47,031	28,087	24,155	59.72%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	744,363	562,871	75.62%	124,027	101,481	87,274	81.82%	
111									
LUNENBURG									
	CIRCUIT	177,354	75,073	42.33%	87,687	29,030	24,965	33.11%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	255,749	185,847	72.67%	18,810	28,615	24,609	152.13%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
113									
MADISON									
	CIRCUIT	363,797	333,354	91.63%	13,479	8,033	6,908	59.60%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	777,143	699,697	90.03%	81,796	57,832	49,736	70.70%	
115									
MATHEWS									
	CIRCUIT	67,849	55,849	82.31%	19,653	17,285	14,865	87.95%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	87,307	68,990	79.02%	24,509	29,121	19,128	118.82%	David S. Hudson
	JUVENILE	11,486	7,540	65.65%	4,543	2,210	1,901	48.64%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
117									
MECKLENBURG									
	CIRCUIT	594,347	371,850	62.56%	179,128	84,372	72,560	47.10%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,544,097	1,120,328	72.56%	332,535	217,235	186,822	65.33%	
	JUVENILE	32,364	19,039	58.83%	10,384	5,751	4,946	55.38%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
119									
MIDDLESEX									
	CIRCUIT	106,867	57,729	54.02%	28,834	19,622	16,875	68.05%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	226,239	193,681	85.61%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	13,867	8,033	57.92%	5,310	4,304	3,702	81.07%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
121									
MONTGOMERY									
	CIRCUIT	1,029,914	569,189	55.27%	476,814	187,965	142,276	39.42%	E-Recovery Solutions
	GENERAL DISTRICT	2,750,317	2,086,158	75.85%	586,009	232,400	179,269	39.66%	
	JUVENILE	54,331	33,228	61.16%	13,930	6,647	5,114	47.72%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
125									
NELSON									
	CIRCUIT	119,441	62,456	52.29%	22,419	22,983	19,765	0.00%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	323,851	263,854	81.47%	49,805	28,965	24,910	58.16%	
	JUVENILE	21,289	12,183	57.22%	9,364	4,562	3,923	48.72%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
127									
NEW KENT									
	CIRCUIT	354,887	115,570	32.57%	201,721	23,189	15,389	11.50%	David S. Hudson
	GENERAL DISTRICT	770,410	596,491	77.43%	196,386	146,130	99,204	74.41%	
	JUVENILE	24,925	19,181	76.95%	3,765	3,546	2,363	94.18%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
131									
NORTHAMPTON									
	CIRCUIT	353,897	154,071	43.54%	178,039	43,000	36,980	24.15%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	1,271,163	770,685	60.63%	347,744	178,760	153,733	51.41%	
133									
NORTHUMBERLAND									
	CIRCUIT	107,600	50,556	46.99%	65,674	21,944	18,872	33.41%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	114,868	88,610	77.14%	17,099	19,185	16,499	112.20%	Taxation
	JUVENILE	10,378	6,478	62.42%	3,866	1,478	1,271	38.23%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY				COLLECTION METHOD
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED		
135										
NOTTOWAY										
	CIRCUIT	180,172	72,711	40.36%	71,975	44,208	38,019	61.42%	Taxation	
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
	COMBINED	510,735	332,439	65.09%	125,545	86,752	74,607	69.10%		
137										
ORANGE										
	CIRCUIT	268,460	157,126	58.53%	45,077	14,725	12,663	32.67%	Taxation	
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
	COMBINED	478,125	355,688	74.39%	46,958	71,580	61,559	152.43%		
139										
PAGE										
	CIRCUIT	399,467	266,812	66.79%	69,979	27,542	23,686	39.36%	Taxation	
	GENERAL DISTRICT	522,365	434,302	83.14%	48,692	45,533	39,159	93.51%		
	JUVENILE	44,223	28,565	64.59%	9,866	9,392	8,077	95.20%		
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY				COLLECTION METHOD
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED		
141										
PATRICK	CIRCUIT	309,521	131,687	42.55%	177,442	47,306	40,683	26.66%	Taxation	
	GENERAL DISTRICT	234,994	168,387	71.66%	48,580	30,049	25,842	61.85%		
	JUVENILE	24,332	14,764	60.68%	10,431	3,344	2,876	32.06%		
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
143										
PITTSLYVANNA	CIRCUIT	586,904	225,634	38.44%	264,398	80,058	68,850	30.28%	Taxation	
	GENERAL DISTRICT	802,314	659,057	82.14%	136,144	110,345	94,897	81.05%		
	JUVENILE	51,161	34,411	67.26%	16,125	11,539	9,923	71.56%		
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
145										
POWHATAN	CIRCUIT	88,077	63,691	72.31%	24,118	31,537	27,122	130.76%	Taxation	
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
	COMBINED	352,949	300,766	85.22%	45,097	32,769	28,182	72.66%		

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
147									
PRINCE EDWARD									
	CIRCUIT	195,989	219,266	111.88%	129,326	29,599	25,455	22.89%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	723,578	517,202	71.48%	178,158	92,937	79,926	52.17%	
149									
PRINCE GEORGE									
	CIRCUIT	577,432	416,691	72.16%	66,979	22,985	19,767	34.32%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	1,403,626	1,073,613	76.49%	276,472	166,752	143,406	60.31%	
153									
PRINCE WILLIAM\MS\M									
	CIRCUIT	4,943,444	3,840,649	77.69%	443,121	198,868	130,833	44.88%	Local Attorney/Wallace Covington
	GENERAL DISTRICT	8,354,569	6,112,106	73.16%	1,924,019	921,873	693,451	47.91%	
	JUVENILE	492,009	308,011	62.60%	124,438	63,606	44,331	51.11%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
155									
PULASKI									
	CIRCUIT	1,042,900	232,422	22.29%	946,794	94,369	81,157	9.97%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,074,486	738,606	68.74%	236,747	159,007	136,746	67.16%	
	JUVENILE	71,522	27,220	38.06%	30,431	10,644	9,154	34.98%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
157									
RAPPAHANNOCK									
	CIRCUIT	110,190	76,445	69.38%	18,551	5,497	4,727	29.63%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	224,757	200,616	89.26%	21,683	17,491	15,042	80.67%	
159									
RICHMOND CO									
	CIRCUIT	167,996	76,032	45.26%	48,646	19,180	16,495	39.43%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	188,144	160,509	85.31%	13,509	16,686	14,350	123.52%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
161									
ROANOKE CO									
	CIRCUIT	1,579,669	1,271,774	80.51%	214,478	88,780	76,351	41.39%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	2,234,528	1,844,809	82.56%	297,365	207,001	178,021	69.61%	
	JUVENILE	92,588	60,224	65.05%	30,532	20,594	17,710	67.45%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
163									
ROCKBRIDGE									
	CIRCUIT	409,637	243,910	59.54%	151,470	79,215	68,125	52.30%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	1,092,872	914,720	83.70%	156,943	117,828	101,332	75.08%	
165									
ROCKINGHAM/H'BG									
	CIRCUIT	1,791,769	1,175,332	65.60%	477,672	170,524	146,651	35.70%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	2,850,506	2,101,383	73.72%	486,350	344,345	296,136	70.80%	
	JUVENILE	163,940	101,924	62.17%	63,478	30,473	26,207	48.01%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY				
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD	
167										
RUSSELL										
	CIRCUIT	368,933	140,999	38.22%	166,267	40,830	35,114	24.56%		Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
	COMBINED	431,241	334,956	77.67%	92,158	67,025	57,641	72.73%		
169										
SCOTT										
	CIRCUIT	269,059	136,915	50.89%	83,589	44,154	37,972	52.82%		Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
	COMBINED	445,075	319,860	71.87%	102,837	71,138	61,179	69.18%		
171										
SHENANDOAH										
	CIRCUIT	397,861	306,849	77.12%	112,688	41,020	35,277	36.40%		Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,218,713	958,288	78.63%	196,802	154,843	133,165	78.68%		
	JUVENILE	60,122	36,498	60.71%	11,529	8,749	7,524	75.89%		
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
173									
SMYTH									
	CIRCUIT	356,883	209,645	58.74%	138,157	44,708	38,449	32.36%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	814,291	568,192	69.78%	216,424	103,224	88,772	47.70%	
	JUVENILE	38,047	17,520	46.05%	11,377	8,194	7,047	72.02%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
175									
SOUTHAMPTON\FKLN									
	CIRCUIT	606,379	184,234	30.38%	266,426	117,080	79,343	43.94%	In house
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	See footnote Page 43
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	1,330,882	1,039,768	78.13%	282,047	174,182	149,799	61.76%	Taxation
177									
SPOTSLYVANNIA									
	CIRCUIT	760,924	418,436	54.99%	335,802	141,813	121,959	42.23%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	2,063,827	1,486,060	72.01%	467,067	336,888	289,723	72.13%	
	JUVENILE	119,071	84,569	71.02%	26,885	15,965	13,730	59.38%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
179									
STAFFORD									
	CIRCUIT	1,547,086	979,352	63.30%	325,215	140,252	120,616	43.13%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	2,135,187	1,500,874	70.29%	500,981	319,844	275,066	63.84%	
	JUVENILE	136,985	105,688	77.15%	27,802	20,879	17,956	75.10%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
181									
SURRY									
	CIRCUIT	52,399	37,913	72.35%	9,431	6,342	5,454	67.25%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	127,627	97,631	76.50%	23,615	17,384	14,950	73.61%	
183									
SUSSEX									
	CIRCUIT	473,067	322,298	68.13%	101,154	45,963	39,528	45.44%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	1,497,914	1,168,085	77.98%	271,560	145,168	124,845	53.46%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
185									
TAZEWELL									
	CIRCUIT	980,330	371,046	37.85%	538,664	118,777	102,149	22.05%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	821,519	547,522	66.65%	241,565	128,753	110,727	53.30%	
	JUVENILE	73,125	43,306	59.22%	28,263	11,464	9,859	40.56%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0		0	0.00%	
187									
WARREN									
	CIRCUIT	431,236	221,522	51.37%	92,652	46,334	39,847	50.01%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	843,748	654,674	77.59%	148,007	93,707	80,588	63.31%	
	JUVENILE	59,366	37,749	63.59%	17,946	9,695	8,338	54.02%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
191									
WASHINGTON									
	CIRCUIT	767,082	269,354	35.11%	158,526	17,160	14,758	10.82%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	924,508	704,742	76.23%	171,400	94,664	81,411	55.23%	
	JUVENILE	57,937	36,288	62.63%	11,714	5,379	4,626	45.92%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
193									
WESTMORELAND									
	CIRCUIT	247,589	131,985	53.31%	67,523	26,741	22,997	39.60%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	278,690	204,343	73.32%	59,029	39,201	33,713	66.41%	
	JUVENILE	18,428	13,355	72.47%	5,197	1,289	1,109	24.81%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
195									
WISE									
	CIRCUIT	730,228	510,201	69.87%	105,774	77,997	52,220	73.74%	Fines Mgmt. Ltd.
	GENERAL DISTRICT	938,025	707,272	75.40%	170,779	85,910	56,762	50.30%	
	JUVENILE	28,183	20,323	72.11%	7,260	3,251	2,113	44.78%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
197									
WYTHE									
	CIRCUIT	1,039,098	808,938	77.85%	52,618	21,861	18,800	41.55%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	2,071,988	1,618,630	78.12%	396,599	169,254	145,559	42.68%	
	JUVENILE	67,306	34,637	51.46%	26,104	12,387	10,653	47.45%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
199									
YORK	CIRCUIT	916,384	595,391	64.97%	390,464	126,124	90,631	32.30%	Quadros & Associates
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,462,678	1,009,896	69.04%	373,705	265,586	190,259	71.07%	
	JUVENILE	75,518	59,396	78.65%	15,992	10,681	7,680	66.79%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
510									
ALEXANDRIA	CIRCUIT	2,168,487	1,364,895	62.94%	593,527	294,572	253,332	49.63%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	2,760,449	1,900,989	68.87%	359,280	396,193	340,726	110.27%	
	JUVENILE	77,964	26,770	34.34%	40,487	24,199	20,811	59.77%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	59,199	50,912	0.00%	
520									
BRISTOL	CIRCUIT	660,737	312,090	47.23%	316,985	38,900	33,454	12.27%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	870,651	642,338	73.78%	167,668	97,252	83,637	58.00%	
	JUVENILE	34,825	19,792	56.83%	14,665	4,695	4,037	32.01%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
530									
BUENA VISTA									
	CIRCUIT	90,355	53,654	59.38%	25,962	14,096	12,122	54.29%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	118,268	87,196	73.73%	24,689	21,568	18,548	87.36%	
540									
CHARLOTTESVILLE									
	CIRCUIT	792,980	364,717	45.99%	348,631	100,976	86,839	28.96%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,144,330	876,596	76.60%	220,743	140,460	120,796	63.63%	
	JUVENILE	68,739	27,156	39.51%	27,092	16,589	14,266	61.23%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
550									
CHESAPEAKE									
	CIRCUIT	4,821,470	2,771,753	57.49%	1,935,099	380,177	273,008	19.65%	Huff, Poole, & Mahoney
	GENERAL DISTRICT	5,803,999	4,147,003	71.45%	1,483,282	956,336	669,631	64.47%	
	JUVENILE	211,369	128,949	61.01%	77,033	33,058	23,360	42.91%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
560									
CLIFTON FORGE									
	CIRCUIT	-1,686	3,183	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	990	7,004	707.41%	0	0	0	0.00%	
570									
COLONIAL HEIGHTS									
	CIRCUIT	599,344	383,794	64.04%	324,755	47,002	37,280	14.47%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	Huff,Poole & Mahoney
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	754,859	540,464	71.60%	279,868	152,256	122,553	54.40%	
590									
DANVILLE									
	CIRCUIT	927,985	90,577	9.76%	669,172	446,628	395,653	66.74%	In House
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,400,323	967,462	69.09%	453,472	266,131	209,881	58.69%	See footnote Page 43
	JUVENILE	106,919	45,770	42.81%	36,834	13,031	11,523	35.38%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY				COLLECTION METHOD
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED		
595										
EMPORIA										
	CIRCUIT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	combind with Greenville Co.	
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	Taxation	
	COMBINED	1,669,194	1,292,233	77.42%	0	0	0	0.00%		
600										
FAIRFAX CITY										
	CIRCUIT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	Combined w/ Fairfax Co.	
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,297,122	1,116,636	86.09%	0	0	0	0.00%		
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	Taxation	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
610										
FALLS CHURCH										
	CIRCUIT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	Combined w/ Arlington	
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	Taxation	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%		
	COMBINED	538,569	413,639	76.80%	0	0	0	0.00%		

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY				
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED	NET ASSESSED	GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
620									
FRANKLIN CITY									
	CIRCUIT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	Combined w/ Southampton
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	209,128	143,778	68.75%	0	0	0	0.00%	
630									
FREDERICKSBURG									
	CIRCUIT	777,633	402,619	51.77%	374,640	89,615	77,069	23.92%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,386,365	933,550	67.34%	287,696	165,009	141,907	57.36%	
	JUVENILE	48,452	25,656	52.95%	20,496	11,340	9,752	55.33%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
640									
GALAX									
	CIRCUIT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	Combined w/ Grayson
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	249,273	155,195	62.26%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
650									
HAMPTON									
	CIRCUIT	2,172,323	1,081,902	49.80%	639,193	148,431	96,480	23.22%	City of Hampton
	GENERAL DISTRICT	3,284,199	2,296,848	69.94%	982,809	511,699	332,612	52.06%	
	JUVENILE	121,362	52,109	42.94%	62,118	27,758	18,046	44.69%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
670									
HOPEWELL									
	CIRCUIT	360,961	130,087	36.04%	223,405	78,837	67,800	35.29%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	548,632	282,160	51.43%	230,581	127,008	109,227	55.08%	
680									
LYNCHBURG									
	CIRCUIT	1,489,893	961,539	64.54%	754,762	143,861	104,131	19.06%	Huff, Poole, & Mahoney
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,841,355	1,354,570	73.56%	824,771	300,235	222,129	36.40%	
	JUVENILE	107,148	57,717	53.87%	86,557	18,933	14,044	21.87%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
690									
MARTINSVILLE									
	CIRCUIT	757,902	325,586	42.96%	392,823	133,778	115,049	34.06%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	632,664	436,702	69.03%	120,484	83,618	71,911	69.40%	
	JUVENILE	57,682	23,513	40.76%	19,544	9,659	8,307	49.42%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
700									
NEWPORT NEWS									
	CIRCUIT	4,562,689	2,160,571	47.35%	1,418,764	809,617	696,270	57.06%	Tax/Quadros & Associates
	GENERAL DISTRICT	5,647,444	3,617,238	64.05%	390,806	159,662	114,093	40.85%	
	JUVENILE	308,647	105,085	34.05%	151,641	99,717	85,757	65.76%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	1,222,258	791,762	569,679	64.78%	
710									
NORFOLK									
	CIRCUIT	4,955,678	1,128,652	22.77%	2,764,737	540,786	378,508	19.56%	Local Atty-Glasser & Glasser
	GENERAL DISTRICT	8,228,798	4,678,245	56.85%	3,040,734	1,518,366	1,062,929	49.93%	
	JUVENILE	283,413	104,713	36.95%	165,084	42,322	29,625	25.64%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
720									
NORTON									
	CIRCUIT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	Combined w/ Wise Co
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
730									
PETERSBURG									
	CIRCUIT	914,203	445,997	48.79%	438,161	138,474	119,088	31.60%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,564,416	1,000,490	63.95%	429,372	262,433	225,692	61.12%	
	JUVENILE	171,372	32,961	19.23%	103,112	48,550	41,753	47.08%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
740									
PORTSMOUTH									
	CIRCUIT	2,730,686	338,119	12.38%	1,648,428	513,622	462,404	31.16%	Hollie & Massie P.C.
	GENERAL DISTRICT	2,226,760	1,207,647	54.23%	1,782,923	1,505,889	1,423,014	84.46%	
	JUVENILE	147,627	42,429	28.74%	101,206	67,485	60,554	66.68%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
750									
RADFORD									
	CIRCUIT	231,614	113,261	48.90%	86,439	23,562	20,264	27.26%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	520,345	308,394	59.27%	165,457	92,782	79,792	56.08%	
760									
RICHMOND CITY									
	CIRCUIT	3,559,752	893,117	25.09%	4,853,825	565,992	396,194	11.66%	Cantor & Cantor
	GENERAL DISTRICT	6,658,210	4,798,632	72.07%	2,823,387	1,557,751	1,090,426	55.17%	
	JUVENILE	198,848	48,802	24.54%	123,493	74,195	51,937	60.08%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
764									
RICHMOND CITY MAN									
	CIRCUIT	888,103	235,853	26.56%	0	0	0	0.00%	Combined/ Richmond City
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,712,315	509,511	29.76%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	Combined w/ Richmond City
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
770									
ROANOKE CITY									
	CIRCUIT	1,253,466	658,282	52.52%	404,929	146,880	113,434	36.27%	In House
	GENERAL DISTRICT	2,807,898	1,960,345	69.82%	462,282	378,544	292,025	81.89%	See footnote Page 43
	JUVENILE	118,047	52,936	44.84%	53,705	20,630	15,366	38.41%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
775									
SALEM									
	CIRCUIT	363,452	215,347	59.25%	85,036	40,342	34,694	47.44%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	JUVENILE	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	COMBINED	612,158	474,484	77.51%	93,633	58,875	50,632	62.88%	
790									
STAUNTON									
	CIRCUIT	399,981	159,921	39.98%	217,087	54,640	46,990	25.17%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	520,518	365,390	70.20%	134,211	95,506	82,135	71.16%	
	JUVENILE	59,745	24,615	41.20%	24,705	12,536	10,781	50.74%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

FIPPS LOCALITY	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT				NET ASSESSED	RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY			
	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED		GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
800									
SUFFOLK									
	CIRCUIT	2,757,847	2,034,808	73.78%	485,111	169,991	146,192	35.04%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,225,920	921,712	75.19%	224,477	217,210	186,801	96.76%	
	JUVENILE	75,944	31,100	40.95%	21,345	24,532	21,097	114.93%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
810									
VIRGINIA BEACH									
	CIRCUIT	9,103,264	6,382,774	70.12%	1,682,769	649,649	459,091	38.61%	Huff, Poole, & Mahoney
	GENERAL DISTRICT	11,801,050	7,977,361	67.60%	3,287,646	2,107,692	1,495,166	64.11%	
	JUVENILE	683,925	506,454	74.05%	185,925	49,771	35,857	26.77%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
820									
WAYNESBORO									
	CIRCUIT	264,699	105,187	39.74%	141,903	50,092	43,079	35.30%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	591,632	365,576	61.79%	149,315	102,333	88,006	68.53%	
	JUVENILE	47,572	22,385	47.06%	16,335	9,899	8,513	60.60%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	

RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COURT					RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY				
FIPPS LOCALITY	COURT	ASSESSED	COLLECTED	% COLLECTED	NET ASSESSED	GROSS COLLECTED	NET COLLECTED	GROSS % COLLECTED	COLLECTION METHOD
830									
WILLIAMSBURGJC									
	CIRCUIT	1,053,606	752,111	71.38%	396,102	143,587	123,484	36.25%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,544,943	1,197,079	77.48%	273,900	173,339	149,072	63.29%	
	JUVENILE	119,785	69,339	57.89%	38,361	13,970	12,014	36.42%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
840									
WINCHESTER									
	CIRCUIT	517,169	289,307	55.94%	245,806	56,746	48,801	23.09%	Taxation
	GENERAL DISTRICT	1,067,490	666,983	62.48%	278,200	193,282	166,222	69.48%	
	JUVENILE	41,756	24,248	58.07%	14,008	7,025	6,041	50.15%	
	COMBINED	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
GRAND TOTAL		323,494,376	218,486,164	67.44%	89,979,085	45,012,077	36,785,628	50.03%	

Collection cost percentages of actual expenses incurred for in-house collections of unpaid fines and fees:

Locality	Expenses for Collection	Collection Cost Percentage
Botetourt	5,561.00	3.22%
Floyd	2,140.53	6.99%
Southampton	3,766.85	3.94%
Danville	67,106.08	9.25%
Roanoke City	58,707.98	10.75%

Collection data is provided by the Supreme Court for all Court Clerk's Offices, unless indicated otherwise. The Commonwealth's Attorneys provided their own collection data. The Compensation Board does not attest to the accuracy of this data.

Section 2

Comparison of FY01 and FY02 collection activities for Commonwealth's Attorneys and Clerks Offices

LOCALITY	COURT	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY01	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY01	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Collection Agent
ACCOMACK	CIRCUIT	\$ 209,767.01	\$ 256,045.04	46,278.03	18.07%	41,832.55	44,516.67	2,684.12	6.03%	Taxation
ACCOMACK	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 747,069.02	800,287.52	53,218.50	6.65%	160,634.42	180,613.02	19,978.60	11.06%	
ACCOMACK	JUVENILE	\$ 29,947.27	31,100.86	1,153.59	3.71%	5,371.43	7,037.87	1,666.44	23.68%	
ACCOMACK	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
ALBEMARLE	CIRCUIT	\$ 377,537.11	408,681.81	31,144.70	7.62%	50,600.00	50,911.50	311.50	0.61%	Taxation
ALBEMARLE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 897,118.93	959,165.29	62,046.36	6.47%	131,145.57	121,165.27	-9,980.30	-8.24%	
ALBEMARLE	JUVENILE	\$ 37,943.73	45,760.45	7,816.72	17.08%	10,446.11	9,773.16	-672.95	-6.89%	
ALBEMARLE	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
ALLEGHANY	CIRCUIT	\$ 99,006.20	145,526.41	46,520.21	31.97%	20,640.00	23,443.17	2,803.17	11.96%	Taxation
ALLEGHANY	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
ALLEGHANY	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
ALLEGHANY	COMBINED	\$ 409,758.42	518,694.76	108,936.34	21.00%	51,353.30	70,713.07	19,359.77	27.38%	
AMELIA	CIRCUIT	\$ 46,901.04	45,325.45	-1,575.59	-3.48%	23,850.07	31,124.13	7,274.06	23.37%	Taxation
AMELIA	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
AMELIA	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
AMELIA	COMBINED	\$ 269,654.63	208,729.64	-60,924.99	-29.19%	35,603.20	40,426.94	4,823.74	11.93%	
AMHERST	CIRCUIT	\$ 137,807.73	194,388.58	56,580.85	29.11%	37,184.25	42,390.93	5,206.68	12.28%	Taxation
AMHERST	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 450,078.63	477,202.46	27,123.83	5.68%	102,668.82	98,283.31	-4,385.51	-4.46%	
AMHERST	JUVENILE	\$ 32,031.65	36,326.70	4,295.05	11.82%	7,731.64	7,045.36	-686.28	-9.74%	
AMHERST	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
APPOMATTOX	CIRCUIT	\$ 90,677.64	75,615.19	-15,062.45	-19.92%	10,003.64	9,199.29	-804.35	-8.74%	Taxation
APPOMATTOX	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 261,414.36	237,801.02	-23,613.34	-9.93%	31,243.43	27,297.62	-3,945.81	-14.45%	
APPOMATTOX	JUVENILE	\$ 8,711.65	8,993.71	282.06	3.14%	2,129.17	3,363.09	1,233.92	36.69%	
APPOMATTOX	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
ARLINGTON/FALLS CH	CIRCUIT	\$ 1,370,040.71	1,667,858.80	297,818.09	17.86%	385,768.84	394,564.19	8,795.35	2.23%	Taxation
ARLINGTON/FALLS CH	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 4,912,909.06	4,900,226.58	-12,682.48	-0.26%	672,460.96	564,501.91	-107,959.05	-19.12%	
ARLINGTON/FALLS CH	JUVENILE	\$ 69,286.71	70,279.48	992.77	1.41%	13,412.86	14,205.91	793.05	5.58%	
ARLINGTON/FALLS CH	COMBINED	\$ 428,733.06	-	-428,733.06	0.00%	43,342.40	45,718.33	2,375.93	5.20%	
AUGUSTA	CIRCUIT	\$ 326,695.46	355,709.62	29,014.16	8.16%	34,622.67	388,648.23	354,025.56	91.09%	Taxation
AUGUSTA	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,013,008.22	903,885.58	-109,122.64	-12.07%	109,560.56	1,014,199.68	904,639.12	89.20%	
AUGUSTA	JUVENILE	\$ 49,369.85	43,683.71	-5,686.14	-13.02%	11,300.27	53,842.09	42,541.82	79.01%	
AUGUSTA	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	1,456,690.00	1,456,690.00	100.00%	
BATH	CIRCUIT	\$ 19,304.99	19,817.11	512.12	2.58%	2,852.37	5,318.54	2,466.17	46.37%	Taxation
BATH	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
BATH	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
BATH	COMBINED	\$ 93,881.72	92,351.27	-1,530.45	-1.66%	6,039.96	3,745.42	-2,294.54	-61.26%	

LOCALITY	COURT	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY01	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY01	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Collection Agent
BEDFORD	CIRCUIT	\$ 612,476.42	571,786.49	-40,689.93	-7.12%	57,661.09	58,915.16	1,254.07	2.13%	Taxation
BEDFORD	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 842,135.36	749,099.07	-93,036.29	-12.42%	97,810.50	103,757.89	5,947.39	5.73%	
BEDFORD	JUVENILE	\$ 44,268.70	41,695.76	-2,572.94	-6.17%	11,623.26	8,207.65	-3,415.61	-41.61%	
BEDFORD	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
BLAND	CIRCUIT	\$ 175,016.23	105,406.14	-69,610.09	-66.04%	5,933.32	7,731.70	1,798.38	23.26%	Taxation
BLAND	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
BLAND	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
BLAND	COMBINED	\$ 586,927.79	489,395.92	-97,531.87	-19.93%	47,569.85	41,138.40	-6,431.45	-15.63%	
BOTETOURT	CIRCUIT	\$ 288,126.41	291,399.49	3,273.08	1.12%	30,948.00	49,500.02	18,552.02	37.48%	In house
BOTETOURT	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
BOTETOURT	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
BOTETOURT	COMBINED	\$ 1,148,001.93	956,440.75	-191,561.18	-20.03%	79,430.00	94,962.98	15,532.98	16.36%	
BRUNSWICK	CIRCUIT	\$ 161,291.28	264,531.60	103,240.32	39.03%	19,583.73	24,954.31	5,370.58	21.52%	Taxation
BRUNSWICK	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
BRUNSWICK	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
BRUNSWICK	COMBINED	\$ 764,022.83	901,988.42	137,965.59	15.30%	145,609.11	157,961.05	12,351.94	7.82%	
BUCHANAN	CIRCUIT	\$ 96,007.99	117,769.48	21,761.49	18.48%	44,703.53	42,602.86	-2,100.67	-4.93%	Taxation
BUCHANAN	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
BUCHANAN	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
BUCHANAN	COMBINED	\$ 327,662.88	275,978.33	-51,684.55	-18.73%	66,897.25	60,917.17	-5,980.08	-9.82%	
BUCKINGHAM	CIRCUIT	\$ 68,140.29	47,238.88	-20,901.41	-44.25%	10,324.54	17,596.03	7,271.49	41.32%	Taxation
BUCKINGHAM	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
BUCKINGHAM	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
BUCKINGHAM	COMBINED	\$ 216,519.01	167,644.31	-48,874.70	-29.15%	21,932.15	26,961.86	5,029.71	18.65%	
CAMPBELL	CIRCUIT	\$ 211,279.10	261,828.99	50,549.89	19.31%	78,827.04	95,274.54	16,447.50	17.26%	Taxation
CAMPBELL	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 538,572.04	528,769.04	-9,803.00	-1.85%	76,551.42	74,416.16	-2,135.26	-2.87%	
CAMPBELL	JUVENILE	\$ 43,734.67	42,141.82	-1,592.85	-3.78%	11,141.97	11,512.14	370.17	3.22%	
CAMPBELL	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
CAROLINE	CIRCUIT	\$ 367,516.20	504,022.08	136,505.88	27.08%	24,417.05	28,932.12	4,515.07	15.61%	Taxation
CAROLINE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,137,161.99	1,328,068.72	190,906.73	14.37%	163,979.88	176,970.49	12,990.61	7.34%	
CAROLINE	JUVENILE	\$ 20,710.52	28,339.24	7,628.72	26.92%	11,392.35	11,392.35	0.00	0.00%	
CAROLINE	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	65,664.94	65,664.94	100.00%	
CARROLL	CIRCUIT	\$ 193,704.03	181,279.15	-12,424.88	-6.85%	9,404.00	14,310.66	4,906.66	34.29%	E-Recovery Solution
CARROLL	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	62,632.00	0.00	-62,632.00	0.00%	
CARROLL	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
CARROLL	COMBINED	\$ 838,448.54	610,127.50	-228,321.04	-37.42%	0.00	65,664.94	65,664.94	100.00%	

LOCALITY	COURT	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY01	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY01	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Collection Agent
CHARLES CITY	CIRCUIT	\$ 55,707.79	48,927.67	-6,780.12	-13.86%	11,540.27	8,568.91	-2,971.36	-34.68%	Taxation
CHARLES CITY	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
CHARLES CITY	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
CHARLES CITY	COMBINED	\$ 103,655.39	81,245.05	-22,410.34	-27.58%	19,781.41	14,723.87	-5,057.54	-34.35%	
CHARLOTTE	CIRCUIT	\$ 29,497.14	41,699.25	12,202.11	29.26%	29,497.14	41,699.25	12,202.11	29.26%	Reported by Clerk of
CHARLOTTE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 371,066.22	379,832.45	8,766.23	2.31%	40,441.74	38,099.35	-2,342.39	-6.15%	Taxation
CHARLOTTE	JUVENILE	\$ 7,081.94	11,646.10	4,564.16	39.19%	3,467.88	1,839.04	-1,628.84	-88.57%	
CHARLOTTE	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
CHESTERFIELD	CIRCUIT	\$ 2,083,543.67	2,158,147.95	74,604.28	3.46%	295,840.00	381,666.64	85,826.64	22.49%	Taxation
CHESTERFIELD	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 3,414,306.00	3,579,256.82	164,950.82	4.61%	513,811.95	551,416.93	37,604.98	6.82%	Huff, Poole & Mahor
CHESTERFIELD	JUVENILE	\$ 198,064.78	199,426.48	1,361.70	0.68%	42,923.15	46,686.02	3,762.87	8.06%	
CHESTERFIELD	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
CLARKE	CIRCUIT	\$ 187,901.28	141,250.24	-46,651.04	-33.03%	5,481.21	3,793.33	-1,687.88	-44.50%	Taxation
CLARKE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 330,195.92	325,412.50	-4,783.42	-1.47%	37,081.78	33,494.66	-3,587.12	-10.71%	
CLARKE	JUVENILE	\$ 9,959.13	9,684.98	-274.15	-2.83%	470.48	2,945.99	2,475.51	84.03%	
CLARKE	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
CRAIG	CIRCUIT	\$ 77,202.53	18,903.74	-58,298.79	-308.40%	1,507.94	1,109.64	-398.30	-35.89%	Taxation
CRAIG	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
CRAIG	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
CRAIG	COMBINED	\$ 38,924.11	36,211.07	-2,713.04	-7.49%	5,450.43	4,287.77	-1,162.66	-27.12%	
CULPEPER	CIRCUIT	\$ 275,511.62	294,984.28	19,472.66	6.60%	21,289.79	21,697.92	408.13	1.88%	Taxation
CULPEPER	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
CULPEPER	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
CULPEPER	COMBINED	\$ 809,951.78	788,960.54	-20,991.24	-2.66%	104,211.05	93,433.84	-10,777.21	-11.53%	
CUMBERLAND	CIRCUIT	\$ 40,242.01	40,008.02	-233.99	-0.58%	3,730.12	5,082.78	1,352.66	26.61%	Taxation
CUMBERLAND	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
CUMBERLAND	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
CUMBERLAND	COMBINED	\$ 149,732.59	119,600.98	-30,131.61	-25.19%	19,400.80	19,686.26	285.46	1.45%	
DICKENSON	CIRCUIT	\$ 71,813.51	80,791.42	8,977.91	11.11%	19,304.54	23,099.96	3,795.42	16.43%	Taxation
DICKENSON	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
DICKENSON	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
DICKENSON	COMBINED	\$ 187,000.57	190,165.01	3,164.44	1.66%	26,440.14	40,117.15	13,677.01	34.09%	
DINWIDDIE	CIRCUIT	\$ 244,367.49	267,872.18	23,504.69	8.77%	43,080.84	35,190.52	-7,890.32	-22.42%	Taxation
DINWIDDIE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
DINWIDDIE	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
DINWIDDIE	COMBINED	\$ 786,246.33	778,112.83	-8,133.50	-1.05%	146,168.73	157,968.54	11,799.81	7.47%	

LOCALITY	COURT	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY01	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY01	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Collection Agent
ESSEX	CIRCUIT	\$ 173,833.91	195,019.45	21,185.54	10.86%	7,198.71	6,495.88	-702.83	-10.82%	Huff, Poole, & Mahor
ESSEX	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
ESSEX	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
ESSEX	COMBINED	\$ 758,790.97	691,678.76	-67,112.21	-9.70%	50,099.51	52,546.72	2,447.21	4.66%	
FAIRFAX/FX CITY	CIRCUIT	\$ 10,076,679.10	10,062,590.63	-14,088.47	-0.14%	141,653.05	448,995.49	307,342.44	68.45%	Taxation
FAIRFAX/FX CITY	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 17,189,842.13	15,505,252.24	-1,684,589.89	-10.86%	2,698,068.60	2,407,441.55	-290,627.05	-12.07%	
FAIRFAX/FX CITY	JUVENILE	\$ 500,838.71	470,930.18	-29,908.53	-6.35%	58,664.16	58,602.12	-62.04	-0.11%	
FAIRFAX/FX CITY	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
FAUQUIER	CIRCUIT	\$ 695,812.78	816,400.07	120,587.29	14.77%	53,188.29	67,141.61	13,953.32	20.78%	Taxation
FAUQUIER	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,421,209.53	1,384,442.12	-36,767.41	-2.66%	156,181.95	162,592.70	6,410.75	3.94%	
FAUQUIER	JUVENILE	\$ 45,113.42	49,651.02	4,537.60	9.14%	5,896.59	8,003.83	2,107.24	26.33%	
FAUQUIER	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
FLOYD	CIRCUIT	\$ 48,990.63	56,595.84	7,605.21	13.44%	64,652.73	16,350.19	-48,302.54	-295.42%	In House
FLOYD	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
FLOYD	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
FLOYD	COMBINED	\$ 98,172.26	77,640.29	-20,531.97	-26.44%	5,628.00	8,179.39	2,551.39	31.19%	
FLUVANNA	CIRCUIT	\$ 72,484.97	89,942.51	17,457.54	19.41%	9,141.00	8,713.58	-427.42	-4.91%	Taxation
FLUVANNA	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
FLUVANNA	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
FLUVANNA	COMBINED	\$ 254,473.54	243,243.22	-11,230.32	-4.62%	33,175.79	37,898.54	4,722.75	12.46%	
FRANKLIN	CIRCUIT	\$ 274,841.10	275,771.11	930.01	0.34%	49,635.08	72,509.11	22,874.03	31.55%	Taxation
FRANKLIN	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 641,721.22	566,257.71	-75,463.51	-13.33%	99,564.90	104,774.53	5,209.63	4.97%	
FRANKLIN	JUVENILE	\$ 34,698.48	29,356.56	-5,341.92	-18.20%	8,608.35	6,456.14	-2,152.21	-33.34%	
FRANKLIN	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
FREDERICK	CIRCUIT	\$ 341,290.41	465,401.06	124,110.65	26.67%	72,426.25	87,474.96	15,048.71	17.20%	Taxation
FREDERICK	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,225,319.91	1,057,115.36	-168,204.55	-15.91%	215,756.98	215,176.91	-580.07	-0.27%	
FREDERICK	JUVENILE	\$ 51,779.33	51,186.41	-592.92	-1.16%	4,858.07	5,681.03	822.96	14.49%	
FREDERICK	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
GILES	CIRCUIT	\$ 189,000.95	267,825.31	78,824.36	29.43%	23,820.21	27,782.60	3,962.39	14.26%	Taxation
GILES	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
GILES	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
GILES	COMBINED	\$ 472,893.83	481,921.38	9,027.55	1.87%	44,758.02	43,456.90	-1,301.12	-2.99%	
GLOUCESTER	CIRCUIT	\$ 177,005.40	151,849.30	-25,156.10	-16.57%	28,980.53	44,015.98	15,035.45	34.16%	Local Attorney- David
GLOUCESTER	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 479,953.39	487,992.77	8,039.38	1.65%	82,376.14	69,855.82	-12,520.32	-17.92%	
GLOUCESTER	JUVENILE	\$ 34,588.51	37,788.08	3,199.57	8.47%	8,158.35	9,467.10	1,308.75	13.82%	
GLOUCESTER	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	

LOCALITY	COURT	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY01	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY01	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Collection Agent
GOOCHLAND	CIRCUIT	\$ 97,885.02	111,087.26	13,202.24	11.88%	29,986.41	34,351.47	4,365.06	12.71%	Taxation
GOOCHLAND	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
GOOCHLAND	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
GOOCHLAND	COMBINED	\$ 553,534.49	512,196.84	-41,337.65	-8.07%	58,174.02	53,205.25	-4,968.77	-9.34%	
GRAYSONIGALAX	CIRCUIT	\$ 150,884.20	146,246.25	-4,637.95	-3.17%	12,821.74	16,852.49	4,030.75	23.92%	Taxation
GRAYSONIGALAX	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
GRAYSONIGALAX	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
GRAYSONIGALAX	COMBINED	\$ 295,719.52	128,952.31	-166,767.21	-129.32%	59,194.10	62,793.82	3,599.72	5.73%	
GREENE	CIRCUIT	\$ 372,662.76	149,815.59	-222,847.17	-148.75%	10,590.04	13,102.59	2,512.55	19.18%	Taxation
GREENE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
GREENE	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
GREENE	COMBINED	\$ 661,116.91	505,274.69	-155,842.22	-30.84%	58,592.23	45,409.22	-13,183.01	-29.03%	
GREENESVILLE	CIRCUIT	\$ 1,895,030.83	1,696,835.03	-198,195.80	-11.68%	38,221.28	40,421.72	2,200.44	5.44%	Taxation
GREENESVILLE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
GREENESVILLE	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
GREENESVILLE	COMBINED	\$ 3,531,050.47	1,891,801.18	-1,639,249.29	-86.65%	278,077.80	322,660.96	44,583.16	13.82%	
HALIFAX	CIRCUIT	\$ 305,769.40	289,227.36	-16,542.04	-5.72%	66,841.16	69,313.05	2,471.89	3.57%	Taxation
HALIFAX	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 562,338.79	521,260.88	-41,077.91	-7.88%	111,861.98	96,571.42	-15,290.56	-15.83%	
HALIFAX	JUVENILE	\$ 10,575.09	11,681.20	1,106.11	9.47%	4,152.38	2,223.71	-1,928.67	-86.73%	
HALIFAX	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
HANOVER	CIRCUIT	\$ 764,256.99	762,389.43	-1,867.56	-0.24%	119,267.87	137,218.65	17,950.78	13.08%	Taxation
HANOVER	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,734,432.89	1,633,625.61	-100,807.28	-6.17%	398,850.67	345,420.65	-53,430.02	-15.47%	
HANOVER	JUVENILE	\$ 54,139.50	51,009.59	-3,129.91	-6.14%	7,913.22	9,287.87	1,374.65	14.80%	
HANOVER	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
HENRICO	CIRCUIT	\$ 2,971,272.21	3,291,169.59	319,897.38	9.72%	323,593.48	321,858.97	-1,734.51	-0.54%	Cantor & Cantor
HENRICO	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 4,766,767.44	4,873,063.56	106,296.12	2.18%	859,696.40	903,704.80	44,008.40	4.87%	
HENRICO	JUVENILE	\$ 177,947.53	162,909.20	-15,038.33	-9.23%	40,647.24	51,181.81	10,534.57	20.58%	
HENRICO	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
HENRY	CIRCUIT	\$ 275,914.62	367,234.62	91,320.00	24.87%	89,002.32	104,727.11	15,724.79	15.02%	Taxation
HENRY	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 733,798.47	794,639.04	60,840.57	7.66%	123,768.31	150,255.14	26,486.83	17.63%	
HENRY	JUVENILE	\$ 51,311.33	44,344.78	-6,966.55	-15.71%	15,627.85	16,744.32	1,116.47	6.67%	
HENRY	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
HIGHLAND	CIRCUIT	\$ 24,186.25	28,976.65	4,790.40	16.53%	2,653.77	3,223.40	569.63	17.67%	Taxation
HIGHLAND	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
HIGHLAND	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
HIGHLAND	COMBINED	\$ 54,731.81	71,641.16	16,909.35	23.60%	5,626.24	5,275.54	-350.70	-6.65%	

LOCALITY	COURT	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY01	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY01	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Collection Agent
ISLE OF WIGHT	CIRCUIT	\$ 253,721.26	266,144.17	12,422.91	4.67%	40,083.31	43,149.88	3,066.57	7.11%	Taxation
ISLE OF WIGHT	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 493,157.59	597,918.64	104,761.05	17.52%	89,920.86	94,311.40	4,390.54	4.66%	
ISLE OF WIGHT	JUVENILE	\$ 18,430.00	20,836.32	2,406.32	11.55%	8,970.72	7,072.21	-1,898.51	-26.84%	
ISLE OF WIGHT	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
KING & QUEEN	CIRCUIT	\$ 127,378.31	137,965.84	10,587.53	7.67%	23,977.66	16,671.59	-7,306.07	-43.82%	Taxation
KING & QUEEN	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 308,118.75	297,992.41	-10,126.34	-3.40%	33,198.15	36,168.65	2,970.50	8.21%	
KING & QUEEN	JUVENILE	\$ 7,441.88	7,640.55	198.67	2.60%	1,995.20	1,810.60	-184.60	-10.20%	
KING & QUEEN	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
KING GEORGE	CIRCUIT	\$ 253,606.40	1,841,885.16	1,588,278.76	86.23%	15,866.20	18,215.35	2,349.15	12.90%	Taxation
KING GEORGE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
KING GEORGE	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
KING GEORGE	COMBINED	\$ 365,843.32	356,514.63	-9,328.69	-2.62%	64,027.49	71,029.98	7,002.49	9.86%	
KING WILLIAM	CIRCUIT	\$ 170,629.16	216,986.29	46,357.13	21.36%	16,482.39	19,693.63	3,211.24	16.31%	Taxation
KING WILLIAM	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 306,385.25	284,873.71	-21,511.54	-7.55%	44,254.98	41,451.87	-2,803.11	-6.76%	
KING WILLIAM	JUVENILE	\$ 11,420.74	12,076.13	655.39	5.43%	3,580.30	2,335.63	-1,244.67	-53.29%	
KING WILLIAM	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
LANCASTER	CIRCUIT	\$ 72,227.13	82,646.03	10,418.90	12.61%	41,077.59	40,298.67	-778.92	-1.93%	Taxation
LANCASTER	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 154,395.99	165,874.93	11,478.94	6.92%	22,232.41	17,528.15	-4,704.26	-26.84%	
LANCASTER	JUVENILE	\$ 6,836.87	7,522.88	686.01	9.12%	3,103.18	1,292.70	-1,810.48	-140.05%	
LANCASTER	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
LEE	CIRCUIT	\$ 121,079.41	125,621.71	4,542.30	3.62%	33,507.56	41,158.00	7,650.44	18.59%	Taxation
LEE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
LEE	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
LEE	COMBINED	\$ 238,591.54	246,274.16	7,682.62	3.12%	45,847.03	47,902.55	2,055.52	4.29%	
LOUDOUN	CIRCUIT	\$ 1,853,899.20	2,184,334.70	330,435.50	15.13%	58,216.84	52,031.35	-6,185.49	-11.89%	Taxation
LOUDOUN	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 3,259,762.07	3,329,322.16	69,560.09	2.09%	281,867.33	282,103.77	236.44	0.08%	
LOUDOUN	JUVENILE	\$ 73,829.09	77,420.17	3,591.08	4.64%	7,201.39	7,540.48	339.09	4.50%	
LOUDOUN	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
LOUISA	CIRCUIT	\$ 219,979.47	225,588.16	5,608.69	2.49%	22,148.31	24,155.18	2,006.87	8.31%	Taxation
LOUISA	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
LOUISA	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
LOUISA	COMBINED	\$ 531,387.86	562,871.10	31,483.24	5.59%	78,470.94	87,273.66	8,802.72	10.09%	
LUNENBURG	CIRCUIT	\$ 63,719.83	75,072.62	11,352.79	15.12%	23,393.04	24,965.37	1,572.33	6.30%	Taxation
LUNENBURG	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
LUNENBURG	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
LUNENBURG	COMBINED	\$ 173,440.57	185,847.14	12,406.57	6.68%	31,079.23	24,608.71	-6,470.52	-26.29%	

LOCALITY	COURT	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY01	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY01	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Collection Agent
MADISON	CIRCUIT	\$ 268,703.86	333,354.23	64,650.37	19.39%	8,449.86	6,908.44	-1,541.42	-22.31%	Taxation
MADISON	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
MADISON	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
MADISON	COMBINED	\$ 752,571.54	699,696.94	-52,874.60	-7.56%	44,390.98	49,735.52	5,344.54	10.75%	
MATHEWS	CIRCUIT	\$ 48,396.34	55,848.75	7,452.41	13.34%	11,447.82	14,864.73	3,416.91	22.99%	Taxation
MATHEWS	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 81,505.81	68,990.41	-12,515.40	-18.14%	18,629.64	19,127.71	498.07	2.60%	David S. Hudson
MATHEWS	JUVENILE	\$ 9,974.55	7,539.88	-2,434.67	-32.29%	1,528.83	1,900.60	371.77	19.56%	
MATHEWS	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
MECKLENBURG	CIRCUIT	\$ 300,213.41	371,850.33	71,636.92	19.26%	50,257.29	72,560.22	22,302.93	30.74%	Taxation
MECKLENBURG	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,156,454.05	1,120,328.27	-36,125.78	-3.22%	173,236.18	186,821.73	13,585.55	7.27%	
MECKLENBURG	JUVENILE	\$ 17,025.08	19,038.95	2,013.87	10.58%	5,253.06	4,945.61	-307.45	-6.22%	
MECKLENBURG	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
MIDDLESEX	CIRCUIT	\$ 49,991.21	57,729.32	7,738.11	13.40%	16,216.95	16,874.61	657.66	3.90%	Taxation
MIDDLESEX	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 220,564.54	193,680.55	-26,883.99	-13.88%	22,710.18	0.00	-22,710.18	0.00%	
MIDDLESEX	JUVENILE	\$ 9,265.18	8,032.55	-1,232.63	-15.35%	3,938.48	3,701.80	-236.68	-6.39%	
MIDDLESEX	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
MONTGOMERY	CIRCUIT	\$ 540,758.15	569,188.92	28,430.77	4.99%	105,715.00	142,276.05	36,561.05	25.70%	E-Recovery Solutions
MONTGOMERY	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 2,091,659.33	2,086,158.16	-5,501.17	-0.26%	168,486.00	179,269.00	10,783.00	6.01%	
MONTGOMERY	JUVENILE	\$ 26,246.47	33,227.76	6,981.29	21.01%	4,764.00	5,113.92	349.92	6.84%	
MONTGOMERY	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
NELSON	CIRCUIT	\$ 134,831.64	62,456.40	-72,375.24	-115.88%	18,356.39	19,765.31	1,408.92	7.13%	Taxation
NELSON	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 297,768.94	263,854.40	-33,914.54	-12.85%	29,480.37	24,910.08	-4,570.29	-18.35%	
NELSON	JUVENILE	\$ 10,604.64	12,182.59	1,577.95	12.95%	3,298.89	3,923.19	624.30	15.91%	
NELSON	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
NEW KENT	CIRCUIT	\$ 79,291.04	115,570.34	36,279.30	31.39%	13,616.21	15,389.25	1,773.04	11.52%	David S. Hudson
NEW KENT	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 603,519.60	596,491.15	-7,028.45	-1.18%	103,577.23	99,204.18	-4,373.05	-4.41%	
NEW KENT	JUVENILE	\$ 18,922.32	19,180.67	258.35	1.35%	2,491.57	2,362.91	-128.66	-5.44%	
NEW KENT	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
NORTHAMPTON	CIRCUIT	\$ 106,975.15	154,070.68	47,095.53	30.57%	34,974.41	36,980.12	2,005.71	5.42%	Taxation
NORTHAMPTON	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
NORTHAMPTON	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
NORTHAMPTON	COMBINED	\$ 762,056.88	770,685.47	8,628.59	1.12%	168,787.59	153,733.29	-15,054.30	-9.79%	
NORTHUMBERLAND	CIRCUIT	\$ 46,436.62	50,556.30	4,119.68	8.15%	15,381.65	18,871.65	3,490.00	18.49%	Taxation
NORTHUMBERLAND	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 96,239.41	88,609.92	-7,629.49	-8.61%	14,515.57	16,498.97	1,983.40	12.02%	Taxation
NORTHUMBERLAND	JUVENILE	\$ 5,656.43	6,478.38	821.95	12.69%	1,391.66	1,271.14	-120.52	-9.48%	
NORTHUMBERLAND	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	

LOCALITY	COURT	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY01	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY01	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Collection Agent
NOTTOWAY	CIRCUIT	\$ 129,536.78	72,710.76	-56,826.02	-78.15%	31,805.50	38,018.88	6,213.38	16.34%	Taxation
NOTTOWAY	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
NOTTOWAY	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
NOTTOWAY	COMBINED	\$ 344,068.40	332,439.34	-11,629.06	-3.50%	60,666.73	74,606.78	13,940.05	18.68%	
ORANGE	CIRCUIT	\$ 168,396.75	157,125.86	-11,270.89	-7.17%	18,385.14	12,663.25	-5,721.89	-45.19%	Taxation
ORANGE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
ORANGE	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
ORANGE	COMBINED	\$ 458,454.92	355,688.47	-102,766.45	-28.89%	64,614.87	61,558.92	-3,055.95	-4.96%	
PAGE	CIRCUIT	\$ 218,310.36	266,811.65	48,501.29	18.18%	25,169.49	23,686.12	-1,483.37	-6.26%	Taxation
PAGE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 410,378.67	434,301.75	23,923.08	5.51%	55,337.80	39,158.68	-16,179.12	-41.32%	
PAGE	JUVENILE	\$ 26,031.23	28,565.25	2,534.02	8.87%	6,017.85	8,077.18	2,059.33	25.50%	
PAGE	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
PATRICK	CIRCUIT	\$ 96,518.45	131,687.37	35,168.92	26.71%	23,840.42	40,683.40	16,842.98	41.40%	Taxation
PATRICK	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 159,157.01	168,387.17	9,230.16	5.48%	31,587.18	25,842.38	-5,744.80	-22.23%	
PATRICK	JUVENILE	\$ 11,321.23	14,763.66	3,442.43	23.32%	4,077.62	2,876.08	-1,201.54	-41.78%	
PATRICK	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
PITTSLYVANNA	CIRCUIT	\$ 231,307.83	225,634.31	-5,673.52	-2.51%	57,846.24	68,850.24	11,004.00	15.98%	Taxation
PITTSLYVANNA	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 677,864.93	659,056.77	-18,808.16	-2.85%	94,296.29	94,896.57	600.28	0.63%	
PITTSLYVANNA	JUVENILE	\$ 31,645.96	34,411.34	2,765.38	8.04%	9,349.30	9,923.23	573.93	5.78%	
PITTSLYVANNA	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
POWHATAN	CIRCUIT	\$ 56,778.02	63,691.27	6,913.25	10.85%	15,223.96	27,121.57	11,897.61	43.87%	Taxation
POWHATAN	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
POWHATAN	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
POWHATAN	COMBINED	\$ 330,118.44	300,766.10	-29,352.34	-9.76%	14,122.73	28,181.70	14,058.97	49.89%	
PRINCE EDWARD	CIRCUIT	\$ 208,365.27	219,265.87	10,900.60	4.97%	14,371.89	25,455.32	11,083.43	43.54%	Taxation
PRINCE EDWARD	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
PRINCE EDWARD	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
PRINCE EDWARD	COMBINED	\$ 561,637.03	517,201.76	-44,435.27	-8.59%	74,207.74	79,925.94	5,718.20	7.15%	
PRINCE GEORGE	CIRCUIT	\$ 363,649.97	416,690.89	53,040.92	12.73%	19,562.72	19,767.34	204.62	1.04%	Taxation
PRINCE GEORGE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
PRINCE GEORGE	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
PRINCE GEORGE	COMBINED	\$ 1,076,275.71	1,073,613.36	-2,662.35	-0.25%	143,705.32	143,406.29	-299.03	-0.21%	
PRINCE WILLIAMMSIM PK	CIRCUIT	\$ 3,293,991.87	3,840,649.03	546,657.16	14.23%	125,617.01	130,832.72	5,215.71	3.99%	Local Attorney/Walla
PRINCE WILLIAMMSIM PK	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 6,130,964.64	6,112,105.59	-18,859.05	-0.31%	663,966.74	693,450.90	29,484.16	4.25%	
PRINCE WILLIAMMSIM PK	JUVENILE	\$ 320,657.55	308,010.71	-12,646.84	-4.11%	36,153.68	44,331.46	8,177.78	18.45%	
PRINCE WILLIAMMSIM PK	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	

LOCALITY	COURT	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY01	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY01	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Collection Agent
PULASKI	CIRCUIT	\$ 217,646.81	232,422.29	14,775.48	6.36%	80,362.51	81,156.91	794.40	0.98%	Taxation
PULASKI	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 748,507.80	738,605.63	-9,902.17	-1.34%	128,944.16	136,746.20	7,802.04	5.71%	
PULASKI	JUVENILE	\$ 14,307.79	27,219.87	12,912.08	47.44%	5,346.49	9,153.71	3,807.22	41.59%	
PULASKI	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
RAPPAHANNOCK	CIRCUIT	\$ 63,891.54	76,444.62	12,553.08	16.42%	4,010.30	4,727.42	717.12	15.17%	Taxation
RAPPAHANNOCK	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
RAPPAHANNOCK	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
RAPPAHANNOCK	COMBINED	\$ 269,002.14	200,615.61	-68,386.53	-34.09%	22,295.37	15,042.19	-7,253.18	-48.22%	
RICHMOND CO	CIRCUIT	\$ 87,791.51	76,032.09	-11,759.42	-15.47%	12,889.92	16,494.55	3,604.63	21.85%	Taxation
RICHMOND CO	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
RICHMOND CO	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
RICHMOND CO	COMBINED	\$ 186,534.41	160,508.99	-26,025.42	-16.21%	12,058.61	14,349.59	2,290.98	15.97%	
ROANOKE CO	CIRCUIT	\$ 1,049,682.19	1,271,774.17	222,091.98	17.46%	67,301.81	76,350.55	9,048.74	11.85%	Taxation
ROANOKE CO	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,582,024.63	1,844,809.36	262,784.73	14.24%	156,487.13	178,021.22	21,534.09	12.10%	
ROANOKE CO	JUVENILE	\$ 62,306.82	60,224.08	-2,082.74	-3.46%	15,919.21	17,710.47	1,791.26	10.11%	
ROANOKE CO	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
ROCKBRIDGE	CIRCUIT	\$ 212,270.90	243,910.34	31,639.44	12.97%	50,788.28	68,124.96	17,336.68	25.45%	Taxation
ROCKBRIDGE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
ROCKBRIDGE	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
ROCKBRIDGE	COMBINED	\$ 1,003,594.97	914,720.48	-88,874.49	-9.72%	97,160.89	101,331.65	4,170.76	4.12%	
ROCKINGHAM/H'BG	CIRCUIT	\$ 1,135,223.34	1,175,332.44	40,109.10	3.41%	115,440.62	146,650.94	31,210.32	21.28%	Taxation
ROCKINGHAM/H'BG	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,886,183.42	2,101,382.77	215,199.35	10.24%	274,872.46	296,136.27	21,263.81	7.18%	
ROCKINGHAM/H'BG	JUVENILE	\$ 98,899.52	101,923.77	3,024.25	2.97%	27,960.07	26,206.90	-1,753.17	-6.69%	
ROCKINGHAM/H'BG	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
RUSSELL	CIRCUIT	\$ 184,971.25	140,998.99	-43,972.26	-31.19%	21,392.86	35,114.10	13,721.24	39.08%	Taxation
RUSSELL	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
RUSSELL	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
RUSSELL	COMBINED	\$ 403,600.11	334,955.85	-68,644.26	-20.49%	66,200.77	57,641.07	-8,559.70	-14.85%	
SCOTT	CIRCUIT	\$ 140,076.51	136,915.34	-3,161.17	-2.31%	38,827.28	37,972.19	-855.09	-2.25%	Taxation
SCOTT	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
SCOTT	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
SCOTT	COMBINED	\$ 421,893.45	319,860.31	-102,033.14	-31.90%	55,490.88	61,178.92	5,688.04	9.30%	
SHENANDOAH	CIRCUIT	\$ 257,267.98	306,848.80	49,580.82	16.16%	36,792.88	35,277.07	-1,515.81	-4.30%	Taxation
SHENANDOAH	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,000,300.67	958,287.50	-42,013.17	-4.38%	145,140.54	133,165.16	-11,975.38	-8.99%	
SHENANDOAH	JUVENILE	\$ 36,181.69	36,498.33	316.64	0.87%	8,028.40	7,523.95	-504.45	-6.70%	
SHENANDOAH	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	

LOCALITY	COURT	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY01	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY01	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Collection Agent
SMYTH	CIRCUIT	\$ 236,546.51	209,644.54	-26,901.97	-12.83%	35,244.45	38,448.51	3,204.06	8.33%	Taxation
SMYTH	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 626,082.94	568,191.79	-57,891.15	-10.19%	84,353.53	88,772.45	4,418.92	4.98%	
SMYTH	JUVENILE	\$ 19,230.37	17,520.35	-1,710.02	-9.76%	9,997.86	7,046.71	-2,951.15	-41.88%	
SMYTH	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
SOUTHAMPTON\FKLN	CIRCUIT	\$ 209,559.45	184,234.19	-25,325.26	-13.75%	71,300.01	79,342.90	8,042.89	10.14%	In house
SOUTHAMPTON\FKLN	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
SOUTHAMPTON\FKLN	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
SOUTHAMPTON\FKLN	COMBINED	\$ 877,209.79	1,039,768.35	162,558.56	15.63%	127,668.52	149,799.44	22,130.92	14.77%	Taxation
SPOTSLYVANNIA	CIRCUIT	\$ 583,655.83	418,436.04	-165,219.79	-39.49%	88,848.38	121,959.30	33,110.92	27.15%	Taxation
SPOTSLYVANNIA	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,631,273.90	1,486,060.15	-145,213.75	-9.77%	287,121.19	289,723.43	2,602.24	0.90%	
SPOTSLYVANNIA	JUVENILE	\$ 83,732.77	84,568.78	836.01	0.99%	18,313.20	13,729.71	-4,583.49	-33.38%	
SPOTSLYVANNIA	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
STAFFORD	CIRCUIT	\$ 820,285.66	979,351.62	159,065.96	16.24%	83,841.76	120,616.47	36,774.71	30.49%	Taxation
STAFFORD	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,506,735.75	1,500,873.55	-5,862.20	-0.39%	249,460.07	275,065.71	25,605.64	9.31%	
STAFFORD	JUVENILE	\$ 107,473.53	105,687.64	-1,785.89	-1.69%	17,351.48	17,956.30	604.82	3.37%	
STAFFORD	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
SURRY	CIRCUIT	\$ 26,214.21	37,912.54	11,698.33	30.86%	5,187.64	5,454.12	266.48	4.89%	Taxation
SURRY	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
SURRY	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
SURRY	COMBINED	\$ 89,457.53	97,630.67	8,173.14	8.37%	15,838.37	14,950.11	-888.26	-5.94%	
SUSSEX	CIRCUIT	\$ 307,926.59	322,298.09	14,371.50	4.46%	27,875.91	39,527.93	11,652.02	29.48%	Taxation
SUSSEX	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
SUSSEX	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
SUSSEX	COMBINED	\$ 964,856.00	1,168,084.56	203,228.56	17.40%	116,073.64	124,844.72	8,771.08	7.03%	
TAZEWELL	CIRCUIT	\$ 324,860.14	371,046.25	46,186.11	12.45%	72,548.24	102,148.52	29,600.28	28.98%	Taxation
TAZEWELL	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 576,012.48	547,522.25	-28,490.23	-5.20%	108,698.04	110,727.45	2,029.41	1.83%	
TAZEWELL	JUVENILE	\$ 43,939.47	43,305.86	-633.61	-1.46%	9,423.32	9,858.97	435.65	4.42%	
TAZEWELL	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
WARREN	CIRCUIT	\$ 237,265.95	221,521.77	-15,744.18	-7.11%	43,227.59	39,847.42	-3,380.17	-8.48%	Taxation
WARREN	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 633,383.54	654,674.32	21,290.78	3.25%	97,098.91	80,587.77	-16,511.14	-20.49%	
WARREN	JUVENILE	\$ 33,238.30	37,749.21	4,510.91	11.95%	7,019.13	8,337.57	1,318.44	15.81%	
WARREN	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
WASHINGTON	CIRCUIT	\$ 232,302.35	269,354.16	37,051.81	13.76%	14,381.90	14,757.72	375.82	2.55%	Taxation
WASHINGTON	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 732,442.95	704,741.66	-27,701.29	-3.93%	75,390.73	81,411.28	6,020.55	7.40%	
WASHINGTON	JUVENILE	\$ 40,492.77	36,287.74	-4,205.03	-11.59%	8,596.62	4,625.87	-3,970.75	-85.84%	
WASHINGTON	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	

LOCALITY	COURT	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY01	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY01	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Collection Agent
WESTMORELAND	CIRCUIT	\$ 107,956.88	131,985.33	24,028.45	18.21%	27,978.74	22,997.38	-4,981.36	-21.66%	Taxation
WESTMORELAND	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 236,470.88	204,342.53	-32,128.35	-15.72%	35,424.19	33,712.98	-1,711.21	-5.08%	
WESTMORELAND	JUVENILE	\$ 14,292.71	13,354.84	-937.87	-7.02%	3,249.01	1,108.78	-2,140.23	-193.03%	
WESTMORELAND	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
WISE	CIRCUIT	\$ 504,666.04	510,201.24	5,535.20	1.08%	58,166.28	52,220.27	-5,946.01	-11.39%	Fines Mgmt. Ltd.
WISE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 752,028.18	707,272.33	-44,755.85	-6.33%	48,898.95	56,761.77	7,862.82	13.85%	
WISE	JUVENILE	\$ 19,970.16	20,322.70	352.54	1.73%	3,164.79	2,112.96	-1,051.83	-49.78%	
WISE	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
WYTHE	CIRCUIT	\$ 719,548.21	808,938.20	89,389.99	11.05%	15,961.47	18,800.39	2,838.92	15.10%	Taxation
WYTHE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,555,101.41	1,618,629.94	63,528.53	3.92%	136,334.75	145,558.62	9,223.87	6.34%	
WYTHE	JUVENILE	\$ 37,378.23	34,637.03	-2,741.20	-7.91%	8,268.53	10,652.94	2,384.41	22.38%	
WYTHE	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
YORK	CIRCUIT	\$ 486,867.43	595,390.90	108,523.47	18.23%	64,349.00	90,630.95	26,281.95	29.00%	Quadros & Associate
YORK	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 946,608.39	1,009,896.31	63,287.92	6.27%	193,610.48	190,259.35	-3,351.13	-1.76%	
YORK	JUVENILE	\$ 51,777.39	59,396.16	7,618.77	12.83%	8,368.61	7,679.67	-688.94	-8.97%	
YORK	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
ALEXANDRIA	CIRCUIT	\$ 1,304,872.56	1,364,895.01	60,022.45	4.40%	232,854.33	253,331.55	20,477.22	8.08%	Taxation
ALEXANDRIA	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,819,870.03	1,900,988.63	81,118.60	4.27%	404,676.80	340,725.61	-63,951.19	-18.77%	
ALEXANDRIA	JUVENILE	\$ 35,529.39	26,769.72	-8,759.67	-32.72%	26,030.91	20,811.38	-5,219.53	-25.08%	
ALEXANDRIA	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	50,911.50	50,911.50	100.00%	
BRISTOL	CIRCUIT	\$ 287,148.13	312,090.33	24,942.20	7.99%	27,794.58	33,454.24	5,659.66	16.92%	Taxation
BRISTOL	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 669,261.43	642,337.99	-26,923.44	-4.19%	74,750.27	83,637.08	8,886.81	10.63%	
BRISTOL	JUVENILE	\$ 20,927.90	19,792.03	-1,135.87	-5.74%	5,771.15	4,037.45	-1,733.70	-42.94%	
BRISTOL	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
BUENA VISTA	CIRCUIT	\$ 49,389.60	53,654.37	4,264.77	7.95%	19,530.78	12,122.19	-7,408.59	-61.12%	Taxation
BUENA VISTA	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
BUENA VISTA	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
BUENA VISTA	COMBINED	\$ 70,806.87	87,195.87	16,389.00	18.80%	18,097.71	18,548.35	450.64	2.43%	
CHARLOTTESVILLE	CIRCUIT	\$ 351,467.25	364,716.95	13,249.70	3.63%	79,593.30	86,838.99	7,245.69	8.34%	Taxation
CHARLOTTESVILLE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 838,526.84	876,596.03	38,069.19	4.34%	122,143.77	120,795.96	-1,347.81	-1.12%	
CHARLOTTESVILLE	JUVENILE	\$ 28,231.76	27,156.21	-1,075.55	-3.96%	14,953.61	14,266.47	-687.14	-4.82%	
CHARLOTTESVILLE	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
CHESAPEAKE	CIRCUIT	\$ 2,326,050.49	2,771,753.29	445,702.80	16.08%	214,756.36	273,008.29	58,251.93	21.34%	Huff, Poole, & Mahor
CHESAPEAKE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 3,502,005.98	4,147,002.96	644,996.98	15.55%	613,107.40	669,631.19	56,523.79	8.44%	
CHESAPEAKE	JUVENILE	\$ 138,878.63	128,948.83	-9,929.80	-7.70%	27,508.84	23,360.44	-4,148.40	-17.76%	
CHESAPEAKE	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	

LOCALITY	COURT	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY01	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY01	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Collection Agent
CLIFTON FORGE	CIRCUIT	\$ 40,312.78	3,182.51	-37,130.27	-1166.70%	6,200.53	0.00	-6,200.53	0.00%	Taxation
CLIFTON FORGE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
CLIFTON FORGE	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
CLIFTON FORGE	COMBINED	\$ 60,714.16	7,004.13	-53,710.03	-766.83%	17,042.86	0.00	-17,042.86	0.00%	
COLONIAL HEIGHTS	CIRCUIT	\$ 318,373.33	383,793.71	65,420.38	17.05%	54,485.48	37,280.10	-17,205.38	-46.15%	Taxation
COLONIAL HEIGHTS	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	Huff, Poole & Mahone
COLONIAL HEIGHTS	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
COLONIAL HEIGHTS	COMBINED	\$ 422,322.53	540,464.10	118,141.57	21.86%	150,129.83	122,553.17	-27,576.66	-22.50%	
DANVILLE	CIRCUIT	\$ 93,732.40	90,577.32	-3,155.08	-3.48%	296,181.94	395,653.32	99,471.38	25.14%	In House
DANVILLE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,018,780.36	967,462.01	-51,318.35	-5.30%	155,794.60	209,880.77	54,086.17	25.77%	
DANVILLE	JUVENILE	\$ 39,758.59	45,769.82	6,011.23	13.13%	21,333.17	11,522.93	-9,810.24	-85.14%	
DANVILLE	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
EMPORIA	CIRCUIT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	combind with Green
EMPORIA	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
EMPORIA	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	Taxation
EMPORIA	COMBINED	\$ -	1,292,233.03	1,292,233.03	100.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
FAIRFAX CITY	CIRCUIT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	Combined w/ Fairfax
FAIRFAX CITY	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	1,116,636.05	1,116,636.05	100.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
FAIRFAX CITY	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	Taxation
FAIRFAX CITY	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
FALLS CHURCH	CIRCUIT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	Combined w/ Arlingt
FALLS CHURCH	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	Taxation
FALLS CHURCH	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
FALLS CHURCH	COMBINED	\$ -	413,638.64	413,638.64	100.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
FRANKLIN CITY	CIRCUIT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	Combined w/ Southa
FRANKLIN CITY	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
FRANKLIN CITY	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
FRANKLIN CITY	COMBINED	\$ -	143,778.49	143,778.49	100.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
FREDERICKSBURG	CIRCUIT	\$ 353,817.37	402,619.05	48,801.68	12.12%	56,400.33	77,069.08	20,668.75	26.82%	Taxation
FREDERICKSBURG	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 710,303.91	933,549.78	223,245.87	23.91%	145,262.29	141,907.49	-3,354.80	-2.36%	
FREDERICKSBURG	JUVENILE	\$ 23,392.44	25,655.66	2,263.22	8.82%	7,385.25	9,751.97	2,366.72	24.27%	
FREDERICKSBURG	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
GALAX	CIRCUIT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	Combined w/ Graysc
GALAX	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
GALAX	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
GALAX	COMBINED	\$ -	155,195.19	155,195.19	100.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	

LOCALITY	COURT	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY01	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY01	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Collection Agent
HAMPTON	CIRCUIT	\$ 1,026,824.39	1,081,901.71	55,077.32	5.09%	99,304.00	96,480.00	-2,824.00	-2.93%	City of Hampton
HAMPTON	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 2,367,346.60	2,296,847.68	-70,498.92	-3.07%	325,538.00	332,612.00	7,074.00	2.13%	
HAMPTON	JUVENILE	\$ 70,630.20	52,108.90	-18,521.30	-35.54%	15,671.00	18,046.00	2,375.00	13.16%	
HAMPTON	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
HOPEWELL	CIRCUIT	\$ 117,298.74	130,087.25	12,788.51	9.83%	55,533.14	67,799.94	12,266.80	18.09%	Taxation
HOPEWELL	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
HOPEWELL	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
HOPEWELL	COMBINED	\$ 292,037.19	282,159.51	-9,877.68	-3.50%	107,777.96	109,226.57	1,448.61	1.33%	
LYNCHBURG	CIRCUIT	\$ 933,188.19	961,538.97	28,350.78	2.95%	117,894.66	104,130.73	-13,763.93	-13.22%	Huff, Poole, & Mahor
LYNCHBURG	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,343,665.37	1,354,569.57	10,904.20	0.80%	253,846.23	222,128.65	-31,717.58	-14.28%	
LYNCHBURG	JUVENILE	\$ 51,141.47	57,717.25	6,575.78	11.39%	15,356.46	14,044.18	-1,312.28	-9.34%	
LYNCHBURG	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
MARTINSVILLE	CIRCUIT	\$ 278,280.54	325,585.51	47,304.97	14.53%	119,048.81	115,048.89	-3,999.92	-3.48%	Taxation
MARTINSVILLE	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 446,733.75	436,702.45	-10,031.30	-2.30%	70,831.19	71,911.48	1,080.29	1.50%	
MARTINSVILLE	JUVENILE	\$ 22,618.71	23,513.15	894.44	3.80%	11,954.36	8,307.10	-3,647.26	-43.91%	
MARTINSVILLE	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
NEWPORT NEWS	CIRCUIT	\$ 2,019,971.69	2,160,570.80	140,599.11	6.51%	625,793.74	696,270.19	70,476.45	10.12%	Taxation
NEWPORT NEWS	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 3,237,268.44	3,617,237.82	379,969.38	10.50%	540,353.35	114,092.54	-426,260.81	-373.61%	Quadros & Associate
NEWPORT NEWS	JUVENILE	\$ 87,689.87	105,084.82	17,394.95	16.55%	90,460.26	85,756.62	-4,703.64	-5.48%	Quadros & Associate
NEWPORT NEWS	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	104,542.11	569,679.03	465,136.92	81.65%	
NORFOLK	CIRCUIT	\$ 798,425.70	1,128,651.91	330,226.21	29.26%	357,563.47	378,508.23	20,944.76	5.53%	Local Atty-Glasser &
NORFOLK	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 4,822,758.58	4,678,244.67	-144,513.91	-3.09%	977,049.84	1,062,929.11	85,879.27	8.08%	
NORFOLK	JUVENILE	\$ 106,426.69	104,712.92	-1,713.77	-1.64%	23,492.65	29,625.49	6,132.84	20.70%	
NORFOLK	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
NORTON	CIRCUIT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	Taxation
NORTON	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	Combined w/ Wise C
NORTON	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
NORTON	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
PETERSBURG	CIRCUIT	\$ 317,544.56	445,997.29	128,452.73	28.80%	114,918.72	119,087.88	4,169.16	3.50%	Taxation
PETERSBURG	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 977,615.67	1,000,490.46	22,874.79	2.29%	162,520.83	225,692.25	63,171.42	27.99%	
PETERSBURG	JUVENILE	\$ 36,971.03	32,961.12	-4,009.91	-12.17%	47,179.60	41,752.57	-5,427.03	-13.00%	
PETERSBURG	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
PORTSMOUTH	CIRCUIT	\$ 322,463.17	338,118.76	15,655.59	4.63%	126,739.80	462,404.19	335,664.39	72.59%	Hollie & Massie P.C.
PORTSMOUTH	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,108,459.05	1,207,646.51	99,187.46	8.21%	54,278.43	1,423,014.07	1,368,735.64	96.19%	
PORTSMOUTH	JUVENILE	\$ 32,944.09	42,428.71	9,484.62	22.35%	4,680.92	60,554.25	55,873.33	92.27%	
PORTSMOUTH	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	

LOCALITY	COURT	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY01	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY01	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Collection Agent
RADFORD	CIRCUIT	\$ 109,825.25	113,260.92	3,435.67	3.03%	21,170.98	20,263.68	-907.30	-4.48%	Taxation
RADFORD	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
RADFORD	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
RADFORD	COMBINED	\$ 335,591.48	308,393.79	-27,197.69	-8.82%	59,712.50	79,792.21	20,079.71	25.17%	
RICHMOND CITY	CIRCUIT	\$ 885,511.52	893,117.42	7,605.90	0.85%	366,983.51	396,194.45	29,210.94	7.37%	Cantor & Cantor
RICHMOND CITY	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 4,958,041.14	4,798,631.95	-159,409.19	-3.32%	1,053,540.83	1,090,426.04	36,885.21	3.38%	
RICHMOND CITY	JUVENILE	\$ 60,426.18	48,801.81	-11,624.37	-23.82%	36,039.95	51,936.70	15,896.75	30.61%	
RICHMOND CITY	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
RICHMOND CITY MAN	CIRCUIT	\$ -	235,853.30	235,853.30	100.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	Combined/ Richmond
RICHMOND CITY MAN	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	509,510.76	509,510.76	100.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
RICHMOND CITY MAN	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	Combined w/ Richm
RICHMOND CITY MAN	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
ROANOKE CITY	CIRCUIT	\$ 590,912.13	658,282.01	67,369.88	10.23%	95,965.20	113,433.89	17,468.69	15.40%	In House
ROANOKE CITY	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,843,582.72	1,960,344.51	116,761.79	5.96%	212,714.14	292,024.69	79,310.55	27.16%	See footnote Page 4
ROANOKE CITY	JUVENILE	\$ 43,814.30	52,935.87	9,121.57	17.23%	12,493.98	15,366.44	2,872.46	18.69%	
ROANOKE CITY	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
SALEM	CIRCUIT	\$ 121,950.76	215,346.66	93,395.90	43.37%	33,281.57	34,694.48	1,412.91	4.07%	Taxation
SALEM	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
SALEM	JUVENILE	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
SALEM	COMBINED	\$ 397,348.88	474,483.72	77,134.84	16.26%	42,554.45	50,632.25	8,077.80	15.95%	
STAUNTON	CIRCUIT	\$ 167,475.14	159,921.31	-7,553.83	-4.72%	46,956.00	46,990.09	34.09	0.07%	Taxation
STAUNTON	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 342,992.27	365,389.84	22,397.57	6.13%	75,652.17	82,135.40	6,483.23	7.89%	
STAUNTON	JUVENILE	\$ 23,354.87	24,614.57	1,259.70	5.12%	8,743.37	10,780.96	2,037.59	18.90%	
STAUNTON	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
SUFFOLK	CIRCUIT	\$ 624,440.38	2,034,807.92	1,410,367.54	69.31%	120,030.87	146,192.32	26,161.45	17.90%	Taxation
SUFFOLK	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 985,802.79	921,712.22	-64,090.57	-6.95%	198,845.94	186,800.78	-12,045.16	-6.45%	
SUFFOLK	JUVENILE	\$ 29,839.83	31,099.72	1,259.89	4.05%	20,696.08	21,097.33	401.25	1.90%	
SUFFOLK	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
VIRGINIA BEACH	CIRCUIT	\$ 4,874,551.00	6,382,773.86	1,508,222.86	23.63%	377,019.16	459,091.14	82,071.98	17.88%	Huff, Poole, & Mahor
VIRGINIA BEACH	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 7,442,661.39	7,977,360.63	534,699.24	6.70%	1,422,012.14	1,495,166.24	73,154.10	4.89%	
VIRGINIA BEACH	JUVENILE	\$ 534,637.56	506,453.85	-28,183.71	-5.56%	25,266.81	35,857.44	10,590.63	29.54%	
VIRGINIA BEACH	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
WAYNESBORO	CIRCUIT	\$ 80,474.87	105,187.41	24,712.54	23.49%	43,000.12	43,078.75	78.63	0.18%	Taxation
WAYNESBORO	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 328,488.76	365,575.70	37,086.94	10.14%	76,707.94	88,006.07	11,298.13	12.84%	
WAYNESBORO	JUVENILE	\$ 22,393.57	22,385.36	-8.21	-0.04%	7,598.53	8,513.14	914.61	10.74%	
WAYNESBORO	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	

LOCALITY	COURT	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY01	Circuit Court Clerks Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY01	Commonwealth's Attorneys Collected FY02	Variance FY01 vs 02	Percent Variance FY01 vs 02	Collection Agent
WILLIAMSBURGJC	CIRCUIT	\$ 673,088.64	752,110.97	79,022.33	10.51%	100,791.63	123,484.45	22,692.82	18.38%	Taxation
WILLIAMSBURGJC	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 1,183,492.39	1,197,079.47	13,587.08	1.14%	119,704.75	149,071.90	29,367.15	19.70%	
WILLIAMSBURGJC	JUVENILE	\$ 51,285.51	69,339.27	18,053.76	26.04%	13,675.47	12,014.44	-1,661.03	-13.83%	
WILLIAMSBURGJC	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
WINCHESTER	CIRCUIT	\$ 358,883.00	289,307.43	-69,575.57	-24.05%	51,912.48	48,801.43	-3,111.05	-6.37%	Taxation
WINCHESTER	GENERAL DISTRICT	\$ 720,731.69	666,982.77	-53,748.92	-8.06%	186,104.79	166,222.15	-19,882.64	-11.96%	
WINCHESTER	JUVENILE	\$ 25,285.37	24,247.90	-1,037.47	-4.28%	6,777.29	6,041.31	-735.98	-12.18%	
WINCHESTER	COMBINED	\$ -	-	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
TOTAL		208,572,022.66	218,486,163.78	9,914,141.12	4.54%	30,502,482.83	36,785,627.57	6,283,144.74	17.08%	

Appendices

Appendix 1

Commonwealth's Attorneys Fines and Fees Reporting Form and Instructions

Report to the Compensation Board On The Collection of Fines and Fees Pursuant to 19.2-349 B Code of Virginia

Commonwealth's Attorney for: _____ For the Fiscal Year Ending: ☐ 6-30-02

(city/county)

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Total of delinq. Fines, costs and penalties assessed in this period	Accounts manually deleted or removed	Accounts reported "paid" by Depart. Of Taxation's debt set- off program	Subtotal (Col A minus (-) Col B minus (-) Col C)	Total of fines costs, penalties collected and deposited in this period	Total fee for service on collections	Net deposit to court (Col E minus(-) Col F)

COURT

Circuit	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
General District	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
J & DR	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Combined	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Totals	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

H

COURT

% of Deposits
to be assessed
(Col E divided by
Col D)

**Complete if Collecting
"in House " program
ONLY**

Based on information provided to me by the courts and my collection program, I certify that this report is a true and accurate statement of the total fines and costs collected and deposited by me or on my behalf for the period stated above.

Circuit	%
General District	%
J & DR	%
Combined	%
Totals	%

total from column F above	
expenses for collection efforts	
surplus/(deficit)	
\$ to locality	
date _____	
\$ to state	
date _____	

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Printed Name: _____

Collection Method: (Check box below)

☐ DEPART OF TAXATION

☐ IN -HOUSE

☐ LOCAL ATTORNEY

☐ COLLECTION AGENT

FIRM: _____

Instructions for completing the Fine and Fees Form 100

While you may, at your discretion, delegate the authority to prepare the fines and fees report to your collection agent, or an employee of your office, you are ultimately responsible for the content of the report. The Auditor of Public Accounts audits these reports on an annual basis; therefore, all information used to prepare the report should be maintained.

For General District Court reporting, please combine separate divisions into one general district amount and submit one form. For localities that used more than one collection method during the year, please combine the amounts and submit one form.

Column A: Enter the total amount of delinquent fines, costs and penalties assessed in this period. What was the total amount of delinquencies as taken from The Supreme Court's FMSBR022 "Receivable Balances, Total Sent to Collections and Collections Ratios for Courts June, 2002" report.

Column B: Enter the amount of accounts manually removed by the Clerk of the Court. The Commonwealth's Attorney should approve amounts manually removed for removal and supporting documentation including the reason for the removal should be maintained for auditing purposes.

Column C: Enter the amount of accounts reported as "paid" by Department of Taxation Debt Set-Off program. (Provided by the Clerk of Court).

Column D: Enter the Subtotal. Column A "minus (-)" Column B "minus (-)" Column C. ($A - B - C = D$)

Column E: Enter the total amount of fines, costs, and penalties collected and deposited in the reporting period. (Provided by your collection program)

Column F: Enter the total fee for service on collections. If your office is charged a flat fee for collecting fines and fees, enter that amount in this column.

Column G: Enter the net deposit to the court. (Column F "minus (-)" Column E = Column G). Reconcile to the Clerk of Court records.

Column H: Calculate the percentage of deposits collected versus the amount assessed to your office. Column E "Divided" by column D = Column H.

Sign, Date, Print Name, and enter collection method. Forward to the Compensation Board by August 16 via fax at (804) 371-0235 or mail to the Attention of Charlene Rollins P. O. Box 710, Richmond, VA 23218-0710.

Appendix 2

Commonwealth's Attorneys and Circuit Court Clerk's Policies and Procedures Form

Compensation Board Clerks of the Courts

Policy: It is the policy of this office to take all measures under law to ensure that all fines, costs, penalties, forfeitures, and restitution as ordered by the Court are paid in full.

Preface: The General Assembly has directed all Clerks of the Courts in the Commonwealth to develop procedures for use in their court to improve the collections of fines, costs, penalties, forfeitures, and restitution.

Purpose: Prompt payment of fines, costs, penalties, forfeitures, and restitution are ordered by the Court as a form of punishment for an offense committed, as well as a legitimate source of revenue for the locality and the Commonwealth. Improved collection efforts by this office will maintain the integrity and credibility of the court.

Effective Date: The policy and procedures are effective July 1, 1997, unless otherwise noted, and shall remain in effect unless further amended by the Clerk of the Court. Any amendments to this policy and these procedures shall be communicated to and are subject to review by the Compensation Board.

Procedure:

Actions for increasing the Collection of Fines and Fees

All costs and fines assessed by the Court are due and payable in full at the time the Court pronounces judgment unless the Judge directs otherwise.

Payment is made in full at the time of sentencing or a deferred payment date or an installment plan is established.

If the debtor is unable to pay the total amount due, the court has a systematic procedure to ensure that the debtor understands the total dollar amount owed, the mechanics of making payments and the consequences of failing to pay as agreed

Actions if Defendant fails to Pay as Agreed:

Unpaid costs and fines constitute a judgment against the defendant in favor of the Commonwealth and are docketed as such.

The failure to either pay in full by the agreed upon due date or to meet the obligations of the installment payment plan (missed installment payment) causes the clerk to file an abstract with the Department of Motor Vehicles to effect a suspension of the debtor's drivers license and the registration certificate and license plates of any automobile registered solely in the name of the debtor.


Collection Activities:


At any point past the final judgment date and the exhaustion of appeals, the outstanding debt should be forwarded to the Commonwealth's Attorney or his/her designee.

No less frequently than annually, all outstanding debts are to be referred to the Department of Taxation's Debt Set-off program.

Reporting Requirements:

Pursuant to §19.2-349, the Clerks of the Courts are required to report collection activities to the Compensation Board for the preparation of the annual Fines and Fees Report. My certification of the collection activities is required by the Code of Virginia.


June R. Funkhouser
Chairman


May 29, 1997

Compliance:

The policies and procedures have been adopted by this clerk in compliance with the requirements of §19.2-349.

Signature

Date

Locality

Compensation Board Commonwealth's Attorneys

Policy: It is the policy of this office to take all measures under law to ensure that all fines, costs, penalties, forfeitures, and restitution as ordered by the Court are paid in full.

Preface: The General Assembly has directed all Commonwealth's Attorneys to develop procedures for use in their office to improve the collections of fines, costs, penalties, forfeitures, and restitution.

Purpose: Prompt payment of fines, costs, penalties, forfeitures, and restitution are ordered by the Court as a form of punishment for an offense committed, as well as a legitimate source of revenue for the locality and the Commonwealth. Improved collection efforts by this office will maintain the integrity and credibility of the court.

Effective Date: The policy and procedures are effective July 1, 1997, unless otherwise noted, and shall remain in effect unless further amended by the Commonwealth's Attorney. Any amendments to this policy and these procedures shall be communicated to and are subject to review by the Compensation Board.

Procedure:

Actions for increasing the Collection of Fines and Fees

Collection Activities:


Attorneys for the Commonwealth have options available to them regarding the handling of delinquent fines and fees accounts. Those choices are to :


- Assign those accounts to the Department of Taxation's Court Debt Collections Unit;
- Collect on those accounts using in-house staff or;
- Assign accounts to a private collection agent or;
- Use the staff attorneys of the locality..

My election of any of the options is made effective by completing and signing a Commonwealth's Attorney Election Form and mailing copies of that form to the designations indicated on the form.

Reporting Requirements:

Pursuant to §19.2-349, the Commonwealth's Attorney is required to report collection activities to the Compensation Board for the preparation of the annual Fines and Fees Report. My certification of the collection activities is required by the Code of Virginia.


June R. Funkhouser
Chairman


May 29, 1997

Compliance:

The policies and procedures have been adopted by this Commonwealth's Attorney in compliance with the requirements of §19.2-349.

Signature

Date

Locality

Appendix 3

Commonwealth's Attorneys Election Form

Commonwealth's Attorney Election Form

I, _____, Commonwealth's Attorney for the City or County of _____ respectfully submit this form as notification of the method selected in compliance with Chapter 924 of the 1997 Acts of Assembly for the collection of fines, costs, penalties, forfeitures and restitution.

Pursuant to §19.2-349, Code of Virginia, I elect the following as the collection method by which unpaid fines, costs, penalties, forfeitures and restitution will be handled for the above-name locality:

Please check (✓) the appropriate collection method for each court in your locality.

	Collection Method: Dept of Taxation	Collection Method: Private Collection Agency	Collection Method: Private Attorney	Collection Method: In-house	Effective D:
City					
Circuit District					
Family and Domestic Relations					
Probate					

I certify that I have forwarded a copy of the Election Form to the following:

- | | |
|--|--|
| i. Compensation Board
P. O. Box 710
Richmond, VA 23218-0710 | ii. Circuit, District, Juvenile and Domestic
Relations Courts of this Locality |
| iii. Supreme Court of Virginia
100 North Ninth Street
Richmond, VA 23219 | iv. Department of Taxation
P. O. Box 2402
Richmond, VA 23218-2402
(if applicable) |

Signature

Date

Appendix 6

Fines and Fees Policies and Procedures as Approved by the Compensation Board

JUNE R. FUNKHOUSER
CHAIRMAN

DANNY M. PAYNE
W. J. KUCHARSKI
EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS



BRUCE W. HAYNES
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

JAMES W. MATTHEWS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

COMPENSATION BOARD
P. O. BOX 710
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23206-0710

August 29, 1994

To: Clerks of Circuit Courts,

From: Bruce W. Haynes *Bruce Haynes*

Subject: Compensation Board Policy and Procedures for
Improving the Collection of
Court Fines and Fees

Enclosed is the Department of Taxation and the Compensation Board's Policy and Procedures for improving in the collection of court fines and fees. As noted in the 1994 Virginia Acts of Assembly, Chapter 966, Item 86 E.1., the procedures you develop and implement may not conflict with those of Tax and the Board. This Policy and Procedures document was approved by the Compensation Board late last week. It was the feeling of the Board that it was best to get this policy statement out to you prior to the mailing of the final package so that you would have additional time to consider the Board's policy as you develop your procedures.

We will be mailing the final Policy and Procedures package later this week. That packet will contain a Policy and Procedures Form and a set of suggestions for improving your collection efforts. Please keep in mind when you receive your final packet that your response is due back to the Compensation Board no later than September 16, 1994. Please feel free to call me or Richard Lampman should you have any questions regarding the enclosures and your options.

BWH:

Copy to:

June R. Funkhouser, Chairman, Compensation Board
Danny M. Payne, Compensation Board Member
W.J. Kucharski, Compensation Board Member
James W. Matthews, Assistant Executive Secretary
Charlene Rollins, Senior Fiscal Technician, Circuit Court
Clerk Program
Richard A. Lampman, Policy Analyst, Compensation Board

s:\wpdocs\friday.mom
ral\08\24\94

Department of Taxation and the
Compensation Board's Procedures for Clerks of Courts
to Improve the Collection of
Fines, Costs, Penalties, Forfeitures, and Restitutions.

POLICY: It is the policy of the Department of Taxation and the Compensation Board to develop procedures for Clerks of the Circuit Court and Clerks of General District Courts that will support their efforts to ensure that all fines, costs, penalties, forfeitures, and restitutions ordered by the Court are paid in full.

PURPOSE: Prompt payment of fines, costs, penalties, forfeitures, and restitutions are ordered by the court as a form of punishment for an offense committed, as well as a legitimate source of revenue for the locality and Commonwealth. Improved collection efforts by Clerks of Circuit and General District Courts will maintain the integrity and credibility of the court and clearly impact the offender's sense of punishment.

PROCEDURES:

I Actions for Increasing the Collection of Fines and Fees:

A. All costs and fines assessed by the Court are due and payable in full at the time the Court pronounces judgment unless the Judge directs otherwise.

B. Payment is made in full at the time of sentencing OR a deferred payment date OR an installment plan is established.

C. If the debtor is unable to pay the total amount due, the court has a systematic procedure to ensure that he/she understands the total dollar amount owed, the mechanics of making payments and the consequences of failing to pay as agreed.

The court utilizes a consistent method of determining the debtor's ability to make payments and maintains appropriate records to indicate how this determination was made.

D. Unpaid costs and fines constitute a judgment against the defendant in favor of the Commonwealth.

II Actions if Defendant Fails to Pay as Agreed:

A. If the defendant fails to pay the total amount due by the due date established by the clerk/court or misses one installment payment, the clerk/court has several alternatives under law to

bring about payment. The clerk/court avails itself of one or more of these remedies to initiate payment.

B. The court has in place a series of activities designed to remind the debtor of the outstanding debt and its delinquency and initiates this series of activities shortly after the first day of delinquency.

C. The failure to either pay in full by the agreed upon due date OR to meet the obligations of the installment payment plan (missed installment payment) causes the clerk to file an abstract with the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to effect a suspension of the defendant's driver's license and the registration certificate and license plates of any automobile registered solely in the name of the defendant.

Note: Suspension of registrations effective January 1, 1995.

III Collection Activities:

A. At any point past the final judgment date and the exhaustion of appeals the outstanding debt may be referred for collection.

B. The court ensures that accounts which are delinquent are referred properly and in a timely manner to the Commonwealth's Attorney or his designee.

C. No less frequently than annually, all outstanding debts are to be referred to the Department of Taxation and their Debt-Set off program.


IV Effective Dates:

The policy and procedures are effective October 1, 1994 unless otherwise noted, and shall remain in effect unless further amended by the Department of Taxation and the Compensation Board.

The policy and procedures have been adopted by the Department of Taxation and the Compensation Board in compliance with the requirements of Chapter 966, 1994 Virginia Acts of Assembly, Item 86, paragraph E.1.



June R. Funkhouser, Chairman, Compensation Board

X 

Danny M. Payne, Tax Commissioner

s:\updocs\cbpfp

Appendix 7

Collection Agents' Commission Rates

Commission Rate	Collection Agent	
25%	Cantor & Cantor	(Henrico County)
30%	Cantor & Cantor	(Richmond City)
38%	City of Hampton	
35%	David Hudson, Local Attorney	(Mathews County)
35%	David Hudson, Local Attorney	(Gloucester - Circuit)
32%	David Hudson, Local Attorney	(Gloucester - District & Juvenile)
35%	David Hudson, Local Attorney	(New Kent- Circuit)
35%	David Hudson, Local Attorney	(New Kent- Juvenile)
32%	David Hudson, Local Attorney	(New Kent- District)
22%	E-Recovery Solutions	(Carroll)
25%	E-Recovery Solutions	(Montgomery)
35%	Fines Management LTD	(Wise)
30%	Glasser and Glasser	(Norfolk)
30%	Holley & Massie	(Portsmouth)
30%	Huff, Poole & Mahoney	
*35%	In-House	(Botetourt)
*33%	In-House	(Floyd)
*30%	In-House	(Southampton)
*30%	In-House	(Danville)
*35%	In-House	(Roanoke City)
30%	Quadros & Associates	
14%	Taxation	
35%	Wallace S. Covington, III	Prince William/ MN/ M PK

*Refer to footnote 1 on page 43 of Fines and Fees Report FY02 for the actual and final collection cost of in-house collection programs.

Appendix 8

Responses to FY02 Exposure draft

COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY
SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY - CITY OF FRANKLIN

P. O. BOX 346
COURTLAND, VIRGINIA 23837
PHONE: (757) 653-2045
(757) 653-2069
(757) 653-2315
FAX: (757) 653-2492

RICHARD C. GRIZZARD
COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY

ERIC A. COOKE
ASST. COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY

STEVE W. EDWARDS
ASST. COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY

CORA L. FALKINS
VICTIM-WITNESS
COORDINATOR
(757) 653-2460

October 3, 2002

Bruce W. Haynes, Executive Secretary
Commonwealth of Virginia
Compensation Board
P.O. Box 710
Richmond, VA 23219-2710

Re: Report for the Collection of Court Ordered Fines and Fees
Fiscal Year 2002

Dear Bruce:


I have received your statistics and have a few comments about the way the statistical data is collected.

1. The net collected to the state of \$66,892 does not include the states ½ of the assessed fees for collection, which were \$12,450.64. A copy of the check is enclosed. The net that the state actually received was \$79,342.64.
2. The total collection fees also do not include the sum of \$21,519.91 credited to court costs by Community Service.

As my letter of August 9, 2002 indicated, when the credits for Community Service are added to the cash payments, the effective collection rate increases to 43.99%.

In order to be truly reflective of the collection efforts, these figures should be calculated into the collection data report.

Very truly yours,



Richard C. Grizzard
Commonwealth's Attorney

RCG/sfp

Enclosure



HUFF, POOLE & MAHONEY, P.C.
ATTORNEYS & COUNSELLORS

David M. Zobel, Esq.

Reply to:
Virginia Beach

Direct Dial:
757/552-6025
E-mail: dzobel@hpmlaw.com

October 21, 2002

Bruce W. Haynes
Executive Secretary
Compensation Board
Commonwealth of Virginia
Post Office Box 710
Richmond, Virginia 23218-0710


Dear Bruce:

Enclosed are my comments on the draft report. My suggested language tries to clarify how one can rate the collection efficiency of various collectors. If you need further explanation on my comments, please call.

As always, we are keenly interested in finding ways in which to improve the efficiency and speed of collection of delinquent fines and costs owed to the Commonwealth of Virginia. If we can assist in any other way, please call me.

With kind regards, I am

Sincerely yours,



David M. Zobel

DMZ\ckv
Enclosure

Background (Page 6)

The compilation of information for the fines and fees report began in August 1995 with the receipt of collection rates from the Supreme Court (Appendix 4), for all Court Clerks in the Commonwealth. The Compensation Board previously met with representatives of the Virginia Association of Commonwealth's Attorneys, Supreme Court, Department of Taxation, and a private collection agency law firm to create a standard procedure for Commonwealth's Attorneys to report the results of their collection efforts during a given fiscal year.

Data Collection Issues (Page 7)

The data included in this report for individual courts and Commonwealth's Attorneys offices should not be used to determine the relative effectiveness, or ineffectiveness of individual collection efforts because the manner in which the data is collected does not allow for a meaningful comparison to be made between offices or collection methods. Data collection and reporting issues include ~~prior and current year collections~~, the method of calculating the "rate of collection," gross amount assessments, variances, and changes in collection methods.

- ~~Prior and current year collections~~ Method of calculating the "Rate of Collection"

One means of measuring a collector's effectiveness is to look at the collector's "rate of collection". For example, if three collectors are each given \$100 to collect, and C-1 collects \$30, his rate of collections is 30%; if C-2 collects \$40, his rate of collection is 40%, and so on. To make sure each collector is on a level playing field with the other collectors, each must get \$100 at the same time to collect. Moreover, other factors will affect the collectability of each set of \$100: do the debtors who collectively owe the \$100 all have jobs? Are the debtors more likely to have jobs in urban jurisdictions or rural jurisdictions? Are all or some of the debtors in jail? Are they now released from incarceration?

All of these factors affect each jurisdiction's rate of collection. However, under the current reporting system, each jurisdiction is not starting out with the same \$100.00. Instead, in determining the rate of collection for each jurisdiction, the equation is

$$\frac{\text{fines/costs assessed in FY 01-02}}{\text{all monies collected in FY 01-02}}$$

The numerator restricts the assessment of fines/costs to a finite period of time. However, the denominator has no time restriction. Even though the money is received in FY 01-02, it may have been assessed the year before, the year before that, or 8 years ago. Therefore, the rate of collection is not a true "rate" because even though \$100 may have been assessed in that FY, the money collected may not have been any of that \$100, but money from a fine/cost that was assessed many years before.

Recommendations to Increase the Collection of Unpaid Fees, Costs,
Forfeitures and Penalties (Page 9)

Insert at bottom of page (contained in last bulleted area):

The Compensation Board recommends that licensed attorneys serving as collection agents for Commonwealth's Attorneys be given permanent authority to use notices of liens under § 58.1-1804 in lieu of traditional garnishment summons under § 8.01-511.



HUFF, POOLE & MAHONEY, P.C.
ATTORNEYS & COUNSELLORS

David M. Zobel, Esq.

Direct Dial:
757/552-6025
E-mail: dzobel@hpmlaw.com

Reply to:
Virginia Beach

September 20, 2002

Bruce W. Haynes
Executive Secretary
Compensation Board
Commonwealth of Virginia
Post Office Box 710
Richmond, Virginia 23218-0710

Dear Bruce:

This letter follows up our conference in Richmond Monday, September 9, 2002.

In that conference, we discussed a number of ways in which the Commonwealth of Virginia might improve the collection of court-imposed fines and costs before they become delinquent. Let me summarize my thoughts on this issue.

Our first recommendation is to encourage all judges and court clerks that they have to work together as a team to make sure the defendants pay the fines and costs. Whether sitting in general district court, juvenile and domestic relations court, or circuit court, the judge is the most imposing figure of authority to any defendant. If the judge makes it clear to each defendant that a fine and/or cost is to be paid, and that the defendant must treat the payment seriously, there is a greater likelihood that the defendant will comply. If you need recommendations from us on specific comments judges should make to defendants, please let us know. Most judges already know what to say to defendants.

Comprehensive training should be given to clerks on how to inform defendants of their obligations concerning fines and costs:

- (a) They should always insist the defendant pay the fine that day in court, all at one time, with cash or credit card;

- (b) If the defendant is unable to pay the fine on the date sentence is imposed, the clerk should **not** automatically indicate that the defendant has 30 days to pay the fine. Instead, the clerk should ask the defendant how soon payment can be made, and make it a term of the court's sentence. Although it will clog the dockets in those jurisdictions with a high volume of traffic and criminal offenses, the defendant's case could be continued to the date the defendant indicates the fine will be paid in full. The defendant could then be advised that jail time can be imposed if the fine is not paid on time.
- (c) The court should issue pre-printed cards advising the defendant that if the fine is not paid promptly, the fine becomes the equivalent of a civil monetary judgment, wages can be garnished and, if the judgment is recorded in circuit court, it can serve as a lien against any real estate the defendant owns. The card should also indicate the court can impose jail time if a fine is not paid promptly. The card should notify the defendant that if the fine is not paid promptly, his driver's license will be suspended. The defendant should also be advised that interest accrues on any unpaid fine at the rate of nine percent (9%) per year.

Additional Recommendations

Mark Groves of Glasser and Glasser indicated in our conference that Va. Code, § 60-114, under certain limited circumstances, allows the Virginia Employment Commission to share information with agencies of the Commonwealth and its political subdivisions. Sub-paragraph C of that statute allows the VEC to share employment information for the purpose of collecting fines, penalties, and costs. We suggest the sub-paragraph be amended so that it reads as follows:

C. Notwithstanding the provisions of sub-section A, the Commission shall, upon written request, furnish any agency or political subdivision of the Commonwealth, *or attorneys licensed to practice law in the Commonwealth of Virginia, and acting as collection agents for any agency or political subdivision of the Commonwealth*, such information as it may require for the purpose of collecting fines, penalties, and costs owed to the Commonwealth or its political subdivisions. Such information shall not be published or used in any administrative or judicial proceeding, except in matters arising out of the collection of fines, penalties, and costs owed to the Commonwealth or its political subdivisions.

If court defendants do not pay their fines and costs promptly, and those fines and costs are referred for collection, the fastest way to collect the money, outside of voluntary payment by the defendant, is through the garnishment of wages. Therefore, we are suggesting that all traffic and

criminal summonses issued to defendants indicate the name of the defendant's employer, or to at least list the defendant's work telephone number. In turn, that information would have to be entered into the court's data base and be transferred to any collection agent if the fine or cost becomes delinquent.

We discussed the STARS Program with the Virginia Department of Taxation. That program allows an individual to enter a Social Security number to link it with the Virginia Department of Taxation's data base. The individual's name and most current address appearing on the most recently filed income tax return will appear on the screen. This information assists us greatly in locating defendants. However, it is cumbersome to use because it requires manual key stroking by an employee and allows us to search only one defendant at a time. Instead, we would prefer to use batch matching of data. Such a method of data analysis would allow us to search hundreds, if not thousands, of defendants at one time and then automatically update the information contained in our computer files. The information we have received to date is that the Department of Taxation is updating its computer programming and that such batch matching of data will not be available until 2005. We find this hard to believe. If we can put our firm's computer technology person in direct contact with the systems information manager for the Department of Taxation, we believe we could overcome any computer obstacles.

Finally, at our meeting we discussed the driver's license reinstatement program. You requested the authority for the reinstatement program. It is Va. Code, § 46.2-395 which provides the following:

B. In addition to any penalty provided by law, when any person is convicted of any violation of the laws of the Commonwealth, or of the United States, or of any valid local ordinance, and fails or refuses to provide for immediate payment in full of any fine, costs, forfeitures, restitution, or penalty lawfully assessed against him, or fails to make deferred payments or installment payments as ordered by the court, the court shall forthwith suspend the person's privilege to drive a motor vehicle on the highways in the Commonwealth. The driver's license of the person shall continue suspended until the fine, costs, forfeitures, restitution, or penalty has been paid in full. However, if the defendant, after having his license suspended, pays the reinstatement fee to the Department of Motor Vehicles and enters into an agreement under § 19.2-354 that is acceptable to the court to make deferred payments or installment payments of unpaid fines, costs, forfeitures, restitution, or penalties as ordered by the court, the defendant's driver's license shall thereby be restored.

As you can see, this subsection refers to § 19.2-354. In that statute, sub-paragraph A states that "the court may authorize the clerk to establish and approve the conditions of all deferred or installment

September 20, 2002

Page 4


the various courts for which we collect vary widely. We suggest some type of standardization of the guidelines. Our recommendations are as follows:

1. For any fine under \$250, there should be no deferred installment program. Instead, the fine should be payable in one lump sum as a condition for receiving their driver's license back;
2. If the total amount of all fines is between \$250 and \$1,000, we recommend a guideline that half of the total amount be paid up front, and that the remainder be paid in installments lasting up to six months. The number of months over which the defendant is to pay the balance would be within the clerk's discretion. For example, it should only take a short period of time to pay a remaining balance of \$250; whereas it may take six months to pay a balance of \$750;
3. For all fines over \$1,000, we recommend the defendant be required to pay a minimum of \$500 up front, with the remainder to be paid in a suitable payment plan based on means and income. In addition, it should be a condition of any payment plan that the defendant constantly advise the clerk's office of any change of address and/or change of employment.

As always, we are keenly interested in finding ways in which to improve the efficiency and speed of collection of delinquent fines and costs owed to the Commonwealth of Virginia. If we can assist in any other way, please call me.

With kind regards, I am

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David M. Zobel", with a large, stylized flourish extending from the end of the signature.

David M. Zobel

DMZackv

Anderson, Jennifer

From: Mark Groves [mgroves@glasserlaw.com]
Sent: Friday, October 04, 2002 12:04 PM
To: janderson@scb.state.va.us
Subject: Re: test



best practices
fines.doc

Dear Jennifer:

As discussed, I write with the e-version of the text previously forwarded to Charlene. Thanks for your support and allowing our input! It is suggested that the amendment (or interpretation) occur by Attorney General opinion (interpretation) or by the Administration (or Legislative sponsor) as part of either the overall budget amendment or through separate Courts of Justice statutory amendment.

The benefits to stress are two-fold: 1) increased revenues; and 2) decreased state expenditures related to courthouse and sheriff staff and paper. Privacy will be safeguarded and the VEC data base is already being used to increase state revenues by political subdivisions and state agencies, namely Department of Taxation, which have current access to the important and extraordinarily useful data base(s).

Best regards,
Mark K. Groves
888-533-0660

>>> "Anderson, Jennifer" <janderson@scb.state.va.us> 10/03/02 11:15AM

>>>

Thanks!

Jennifer Anderson
804-786-0786 x208

From: Mark K. Groves, Glasser and Glasser, P.L.C.
Subject: Best Practices B Court Fine Fine Follow-Up

Dear Charlene:

Good afternoon. I hope you are well. Thank you for including our firm and jurisdiction for the meeting last Monday. We join you in our strong desire to seek out ABest Practices@ in our pursuit of money owed to the Commonwealth. The one area I wanted to follow-up on was the proposal, by statutory amendment or otherwise, to provide collection agents access to available wage (asset) information so that faster and higher recoveries will result.

An amendment (or AG=s Opinion) is needed to clarify Viginia Code section 60.2 114(C), which authorizes the use of VEC records expressly for the collection of fines and costs.

The statute currently states:

C. Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection A, the Commission shall, upon written request, furnish any agency or political subdivision of the Commonwealth such information as it may require for the purpose of collecting fines, penalties, and costs owed to the Commonwealth or its political subdivisions. Such information shall not be published or used in any administrative or judicial proceeding, except in matters arising out of the collection of fines, penalties, and costs owed to the Commonwealth or its political subdivisions.

Va. Code ' 60.2 - 114(C) (emphasis added).

*****The statute should be amended to read:**

A shall furnish ... any agency, political subdivision, or collection agent under section 19.349(B) such information as it may require ...@

Importantly, privacy safeguards will be maintained through written contractual duties and the collection agent=s agreement to use the VEC (or other state records such as DMV) for court- fine related collections. The VEC queries are subject to audit and must trace to an account placed with the collection agent. Pursuant to the contract, the collection agent=s misuse can be sanctioned by contract termination and or criminal prosecution. Thus, privacy concerns will be satisfied while freeing up a legitimate and unused tool.

The increase in collections should be on the order of 5-10 basis points per year, with an incredible reduction in sheriff, clerk, and judicial time that results from the current method of collections.

Why should the collection agents be kept on an uneven playing field any longer where privacy

will be ensured while collections effectiveness and cost reductions will occur?

Other Statutes that Apply:

- 1) **The Virginia Debt Collection Act**, Va. Code sections 2.2 - 4800, et seq., states the policy of the Commonwealth of Virginia concerning collection of accounts receivable:

It shall be the policy of the Commonwealth that all state agencies and institutions shall take all appropriate and cost-effective actions to aggressively collect all accounts receivable. @ Va. Code 2.2 - 4800.
Accounts receivable @ under the Virginia Debt Collection Act include judgments, fines, costs, and penalties imposed upon conviction for criminal and traffic offenses. @

Va.. Code ' 2.2 - 4801.

- 2) **Virginia Code section 19.2-349(B)** provides the authority and duty for this office to collect and remit fines, costs, forfeitures, penalties and restitution due the Commonwealth and municipality and states:

(B) It shall be the duty of the attorney for the Commonwealth to cause proper proceedings to be instituted for the collection and satisfaction of all fines, costs, forfeitures, penalties and restitution. The attorney for the Commonwealth shall determine whether it would be impractical or uneconomical for such service to be rendered by the office of the attorney for the Commonwealth...

If the attorney for the Commonwealth does not undertake collection, he shall contract with (I) private attorneys... upon such terms and conditions as may be established by guidelines promulgated by the Office of the Attorney General, the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court with the Department of Taxation and the Compensation Board.

Va. Code ' 19.2-349.

Good luck with your Exposure Report and please call if I can be of any assistance. Best regards,

Mark K. Groves
888-533-0660